Extremal Conformal Structures on Projective Surfaces

Thomas Mettler

Abstract. We introduce a new functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on the space of conformal structures on an oriented projective manifold $(M, \mathfrak{p})$. The quantity $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}([g]) \geq 0$ measures how much $\mathfrak{p}$ deviates from being defined by a $[g]$-conformal connection. We show that in the case of a projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$, a conformal structure $[g]$ is a critical point for $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if a certain lift $\widetilde{[g]} : (\Sigma, [g]) \to (Y, h_Y)$ is weakly conformal. In fact, in the compact case $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}([g])$ is, up to a topological constant, just the Dirichlet energy of $\widetilde{[g]}$. Here $Y \to \Sigma$ is a fibre bundle whose total space carries an indefinite Kähler-Einstein structure (h_Y, Ω_Y) which is canonically defined by $\mathfrak{p}$. In particular, we prove that every properly convex projective structure admits a critical point. As a by-product, we obtain a Gauss–Bonnet type identity for oriented projective surfaces.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Projective and conformal structures 5
3. Projective surfaces and associated bundles 11
4. The variational equations 23
5. Existence of critical points 34
Appendix A. A Gauss–Bonnet type identity 47
Appendix B. Some linear algebra 48
References 49

Date: October 4, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A20, 53C43, 53C28, 49K20; Secondary 30F30.

Key words and phrases. projective structures, conformal connections, Lagrangian minimal surfaces, Hitchin component, cubic differentials, moving frames.

A part of the research for this article was carried out while the author was visiting the Mathematical Institute at the University of Oxford on a postdoctoral fellowship of the Swiss NSF PA00P2_142053. The author would like to thank the Mathematical Institute for its hospitality.

1. Introduction

A *projective structure* on an n-manifold M is an equivalence class $\mathfrak{p}$ of torsion-free connections on the tangent bundle TM, where two connections are called projectively equivalent if they share the same unparametrised geodesics. A manifold M equipped with a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ will be called a *projective manifold*. A *conformal structure* on M is an equivalence class $[g]$ of Riemannian metrics on M, where two metrics are called conformally equivalent if they differ by a scale factor. Naively, one might think of projective and conformal structures as formally similar, since both arise by defining a notion of equivalence on a geometric structure. However, the formal similarity is more substantial. For instance, Kobayashi has shown [21] that both projective – and conformal structures admit a treatment as Cartan geometries with 1-graded Lie algebras (see [10] for background on Cartan geometries). Here we exploit the fact that both structures give rise to affine subspaces modelled on $\Omega^1(M)$ of the infinite-dimensional affine space $\mathfrak{A}(M)$ of torsion-free connections on M. Indeed, it is a classical result due to Weyl [35] that two torsion-free connections on TM are projectively equivalent if and only if their difference – thought of as a section of $S^2(T^*M)\otimes TM$ – is pure trace. Consequently, the representative connections of a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on M define an affine subspace $\mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{p}(M)$ which is modelled on $\Omega^1(M)$. Moreover, it follows from Koszul's identity, that the torsion-free connections preserving a conformal structure $[g]$ on M are of the form

$$^g\nabla + g\otimes\beta^\sharp - \beta\otimes\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Id}\otimes\beta,$$

with $g\in[g]$, $\beta\in\Omega^1(M)$ and where $^g\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g. Hence, the space of torsion-free $[g]$-conformal connections on TM is an affine subspace $\mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ modelled on $\Omega^1(M)$ as well. It is an elementary computation to check that if $\mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{p}(M)$ intersect, then they do so in a unique point. Therefore, we may ask if in general one can distinguish two points in $\mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{p}(M)\times\mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ which are 'as close as possible'. This is indeed the case. More precisely, one obtains a $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$-equivariant map $(\mathfrak{p},[g])\mapsto\alpha$ which sends a pair $(\mathfrak{p},[g])$ to an element $\alpha\in\Omega^1(M,\mathrm{End}(TM))$ which we may think of as the 'difference' between $\mathfrak{p}$ and $[g]$. In particular, if M is oriented, we obtain a $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$-invariant functional

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{p},[g]) = \int_M |\alpha|_g^n d\mu_g.$$

Fixing a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on M, we may consider the functional $\mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p}=\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{p},\cdot)$, which is a functional on the space $\mathfrak{C}(M)$ of conformal structures on M only. It is natural to study the infimum of $\mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p}$ among all conformal structures on M, and to ask whether there is actually a minimising conformal structure which achieves this infimum. This infimum – which may be considered as a measure of how far $\mathfrak{p}$ deviates from being

defined by a conformal connection – is a new global invariant for oriented projective manifolds. Of particular interest is the case of surfaces where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is just the square of the L^2-norm of α taken with respect to $[g]$ and this is the case that we study in detail in this article. It turns out that in the surface case the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ also arises from a rather different viewpoint, which greatly simplifies the computation of its variational equations by using the technique of moving frames.

Inspired by the twistorial construction of holomorphic projective structures by Hitchin [17], it was shown in [13], [34] how to construct a 'twistor space' for smooth projective structures. The choice of a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on an oriented surface Σ induces a complex structure on the total space of the disk bundle $Z \to \Sigma$ whose sections are conformal structures on Σ. In this sense, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}([g])$ can be interpreted as measuring the failure of $[g](\Sigma) \subset Z$ to be a holomorphic curve in Z. We proceed to show that $\mathfrak{p}$ canonically defines an indefinite Kähler-Einstein structure (h_Y, Ω_Y) on a certain submanifold Y of the projectivised holomorphic cotangent bundle $\mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0})$ of Z. Moreover, every conformal structure $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ admits a lift $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$ so that $[g]$ is a critical point for $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ – with respect to compactly supported variations – if and only if $\widetilde{[g]} : (\Sigma, [g]) \to (Y, h_Y)$ is weakly conformal. In fact, in the compact case $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}([g])$ is, up to the topological constant $-2\pi\chi(\Sigma)$, just the Dirichlet energy of $\widetilde{[g]}$. As a consequence, we obtain the lower bound

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{tr}_g\, \widetilde{[g]}^* h_Y \, d\mu_g \geq -2\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

with equality if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined by a $[g]$-conformal connection.

Looking for a class of projective structures for which $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ admits critical points (we also say conformal structures that are *extremal* for $\mathfrak{p}$), we turn our attention to the canonical rank two affine bundle $E \to \Sigma$ whose sections are in one-to-one correspondence with the $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connections. It can be shown [15] that $\mathfrak{p}$ canonically equips E with a neutral signature anti-self-dual Einstein metric h_E, as well as a symplectic form Ω_E, so that the endomorphism relating (h_E, Ω_E) squares to become the identity map on TE. Moreover, here we prove that a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ defining a timelike or spacelike minimal Lagrangian immersion has the property that the conformal structure on Σ defined by $\pm\nabla^* h_E$ is extremal for $\mathfrak{p}$. Furthermore, we show that in the compact case, a timelike or spacelike Lagrangian connection $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ is totally geodesic if and only if ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a metric of constant non-zero Gauss curvature.

In addition, we observe that a projectively flat connection $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ defining a timelike or spacelike minimal Lagrangian immersion gives rise to a holomorphic cubic differential on Σ, where the complex structure is the one coming from the induced metric $\pm\nabla^* h_E$ and the orientation.

This allows to show that the only examples of such connections on the 2-sphere are Levi-Civita connections of metrics of constant positive Gauss-curvature.

The occurrence of holomorphic cubic differentials in projective differential geometry is not new. In his celebrated paper "*Lie groups and Teichmüller space*" [19] Hitchin proposed a generalisation of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{H}_2$ by identifying a connected component $\mathcal{H}_n$ – nowadays called the *Hitchin component* – in the space of conjugacy classes of representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ into $\mathrm{PSL}(n,\mathbb{R})$.[1] Here Σ denotes a compact orientable surface whose genus exceeds one. Using the theory of Higgs bundles [18] and harmonic map techniques, Hitchin showed that the choice of a complex structure J on Σ gives an identification

$$\mathcal{H}_n \simeq \bigoplus_{\ell=2}^{n} H^0(\Sigma, K_\Sigma^\ell),$$

where K_Σ denotes the canonical bundle of Σ with respect to J. Hitchin conjectured that $\mathcal{H}_3$ is the space of conjugacy classes of monodromy representations of (flat) properly convex projective structures, a fact later confirmed by Choi and Goldman [12] (the geometric interpretation of the Hitchin component for $n > 3$ is a topic of current interest, c.f. [16], [20], [23] for recent results). Teichmüller space being parametrised by holomorphic quadratic differentials, one might ask if there is a unique choice of a complex structure (or equivalently conformal structure when fixing an orientation) on Σ so that $\mathcal{H}_3$ is parametrised in terms of cubic holomorphic differentials only. This is indeed the case, as was shown independently by Loftin [29] and Labourie [24] (see also [2] and [14] for recent work treating the non-compact case and the case of convex polygons, as well as [25] treating the case of a general real split rank 2 group). Furthermore, the conformal structure $[g]_0$ making the quadratic differential vanish admits an interpretation in terms of affine differential geometry, see in particular [29]. We will call $[g]_0$ the *canonical conformal structure* of a properly convex compact oriented projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$.

Using the results of Labourie [29], we show that the canonical conformal structure of a properly convex compact oriented projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ is extremal for $\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover, there exists a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ – unique up to pullback by $\mathfrak{p}$-preserving diffeomorphisms – which is a spacelike minimal Lagrangian embedding.

Although we are currently unable to prove this, it is tempting to speculate that in the case of a properly convex compact oriented projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$, the canonical conformal structure is in fact the unique minimiser (and possibly even critical point) of $\mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p}$.

[1] More generally, representation into a real split simple Lie group.

As a by-product of our ideas, we obtain a Gauss–Bonnet type identity for oriented projective surfaces, which we briefly discuss in Appendix A.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Nigel Hitchin, Jacob Bernstein, Maciej Dunajski, Norbert Hungerbühler and Stefan Rosemann for helpful conversations or correspondence regarding the topic of this article.

2. Projective and conformal structures

2.1. Preliminaries. Throughout the article, all manifolds are assumed to be connected, have empty boundary and unless stated otherwise, all manifolds and maps are assumed to be smooth, i.e., C^∞. Also, we adhere to the convention of summing over repeated indices.

2.1.1. Notation. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ the field of real or complex numbers, we denote by $\mathbb{F}^n$ the space of column vectors of height n and by $\mathbb{F}_n$ the space of row vectors of length n whose entries are elements of $\mathbb{F}$. Also, we denote by $\mathbb{FP}^2 = \left(\mathbb{F}^3 \setminus \{0\}\right)/\mathbb{F}^*$ the space of lines in $\mathbb{F}^3$, that is, the real or complex projective plane. We denote by $\mathbb{S}^2 = \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\right)/\mathbb{R}^+$ the space of oriented lines in $\mathbb{R}^3$, that is, the projective 2-sphere. Likewise, we write $\mathbb{FP}_2 = \left(\mathbb{F}_3 \setminus \{0\}\right)/\mathbb{F}^*$ for the dual (real or complex) projective plane and $\mathbb{S}_2 = \left(\mathbb{R}_3 \setminus \{0\}\right)/\mathbb{R}^+$ for the dual projective 2-sphere. For a non-zero vector $x \in \mathbb{F}^3$ we write $[x]$ for its corresponding point in $\mathbb{FP}^2$ and for a non-zero vector $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_3$ we write $[\xi]$ for its corresponding point in $\mathbb{FP}_2$. For non-zero vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we also use the notation $[x]_+$ and $[\xi]_+$ to denote the corresponding points in $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{S}_2$. Finally, we use the notation $F(\mathbb{F}_3)$ to denote the space of complete flags in $\mathbb{F}_3$ whose points are pairs (ℓ, Π) with Π being an $\mathbb{F}$ two-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_3$ containing the line ℓ.

2.1.2. The coframe bundle. Recall that the *coframe bundle* of an n-manifold M is the bundle $\upsilon : F(M) \to M$ whose fibre at a point $p \in M$ consists of the linear isomorphisms $u : T_pM \to \mathbb{R}^n$. The group $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively from the right on each υ-fibre by the rule $R_a(u) = u \cdot a = a^{-1} \circ u$ for all $a \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. This action turns $\upsilon : F(M) \to M$ into a principal right $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$-bundle. The coframe bundle is equipped with a tautological $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued 1-form ω defined by $\omega_u = u \circ \upsilon'_u$. Note that ω satisfies the equivariance property $R_a^*\omega = a^{-1}\omega$ for all $a \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. The exterior derivative of local coordinates $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ on M defines a natural section $\tilde{x} : U \to F$ having the reproducing property $\tilde{x}^*\omega = \mathrm{d}x$. We will henceforth write F instead of $F(M)$ whenever M is clear from the context.

2.1.3. Associated bundles. Throughout the article we will frequently make use of the notion of an associated bundle of a principal bundle. The reader will recall that if $\pi : P \to M$ is a principal right G-bundle and (ρ, N) a pair consisting of a manifold N and a homeomorphism $\rho : \mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{Diff}(N)$

into the diffeomorphism group of N, then we obtain an associated fibre bundle with typical fibre N and structure group G whose total space is $P \times_\rho N$, that is, the elements of $P \times_\rho N$ are pairs (u, p) subject to the equivalence relation

$$(u_1, p_1) \sim (u_2, p_2) \iff u_2 = u_1 \cdot g, \quad p_2 = \rho(g^{-1})(p_1), \quad g \in \mathrm{G}.$$

A section s of $P \times_\rho F$ is then given by a map $\sigma_s : P \to N$ which is equivariant with respect to the G-right action on P and the right action of G on N induced by ρ. We say that s is *represented by* σ_s. If N is an affine/linear space and the G-action induced by ρ is affine/linear, then the associated bundle is an affine/vector bundle.

2.2. Projective structures. Recall that the set $\mathfrak{A}(M)$ of torsion-free connections on the tangent bundle of an n-manifold M is the space of sections of an affine bundle $\mathrm{A}(M) \to M$ of rank $\frac{1}{2}n^2(n+1)$ which is modelled on the vector bundle $V = S^2(T^*M) \otimes TM$. We have a canonical trace mapping $\mathrm{tr} : V \to T^*M$ as well as an inclusion

$$\iota : T^*M \to V, \quad \nu \mapsto \nu \otimes \mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{Id} \otimes \nu.$$

For every $v \in V$ we let v_0 denote its trace-free part, so that

$$v_0 = v - \frac{1}{(n+1)} \iota(\mathrm{tr}\, v).$$

A projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on a manifold M of dimension $n > 1$ is an equivalence class of torsion-free connections on TM where two connections are declared to be equivalent if they share the same unparametrised geodesics. Weyl [35] observed the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Two torsion-free connections ∇ and ∇' on TM are projectively equivalent if and only if $(\nabla - \nabla')_0 = 0$.*

Consequently, the set $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ of projective structures on M is the space of sections of an affine bundle $\mathrm{P}(M) \to M$ or rank $\frac{1}{2}(n+2)n(n-1)$ which is modelled on the traceless part V_0 of the vector bundle V. We will use the notation $\mathfrak{p}(\nabla)$ for the projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ that is defined by a connection ∇. A consequence of Weyl's result is that the set of representative connections of a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ is an affine subspace $\mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{p}(M) \subset \mathfrak{A}(M)$ of the space of torsion-free connections which is modelled on the space of 1-forms on M.

2.3. Conformal structures. A conformal structure on a manifold M of dimension $n > 1$ is an equivalence class $[g]$ of Riemannian metrics on M where two metrics g and $\hat{g}$ are declared to be equivalent if there exists a strictly positive function f on M so that $\hat{g} = fg$. Equivalently, a conformal structure $[g]$ on M is a (smooth) choice of a coframe for every point p in M – well defined up to orthogonal transformation and scaling – Consequently, the set $\mathfrak{C}(M)$ of conformal structures on M is the space of sections of $\mathrm{C}(M) = F/\left(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{O}(n)\right) \to M$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{O}(n)$ is the

subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ consisting of matrices a having the property that aa^t is a non-zero multiple of the identity matrix.

A torsion-free connection ∇ on TM is called a *Weyl connection* or *conformal connection* for the conformal structure $[g]$ on M if the parallel transport maps of ∇ are angle-preserving with respect to $[g]$. A torsion-free connection ∇ is $[g]$-conformal if for some (and hence any) representative metric $g \in [g]$ there exists a 1-form β on M such that

$$\nabla g = 2\beta \otimes g.$$

It is a simple consequence of Koszul's identity that the $[g]$-conformal connections are of the form

$$ {}^{(g,\beta)}\nabla = {}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes \beta^{\sharp} - \beta \otimes \mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Id} \otimes \beta, \tag{2.1}$$

where $g \in [g]$, β is a 1-form on M with g-dual vector field $\beta^{\sharp}$ and ${}^{g}\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g. Consequently, the set of $[g]$-conformal connections defines an affine subspace $\mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M) \subset \mathfrak{A}(M)$ which is modelled on the space of 1-forms on M as well. For later usage we also record that for every smooth function f on M we have

$$ {}^{(\exp(2f)g,\beta+\mathrm{d}f)}\nabla = {}^{(g,\beta)}\nabla,$$

as the reader may easily verify using the identity [3, Theorem 1.159]

$$ {}^{\exp(2f)g}\nabla = {}^{g}\nabla - g \otimes {}^{g}\nabla f + \iota(\mathrm{d}f).$$

Finally, we use the notation ${}^{[g]}\nabla$ for a connection preserving the conformal structure $[g]$.

2.4. Compatibility of projective and conformal structures. Since both projective – and conformal structures give rise to affine subspaces of $\mathfrak{A}(M)$ of the same type, we may ask how two such spaces intersect.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $[g]$ be a conformal – and $\mathfrak{p}$ a projective structure on M. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ intersect in at most one point.*

Proof. Suppose the $[g]$-conformal connections ${}^{[g]}\nabla$ and ${}^{[g]}\hat{\nabla}$ are elements in $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a 1-form Υ on M so that

$$ {}^{[g]}\nabla = {}^{[g]}\hat{\nabla} + \iota(\Upsilon).$$

Fixing a Riemannian metric g defining $[g]$, we also have 1-forms $\beta, \hat{\beta}$ on M so that

$$ {}^{[g]}\nabla = {}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes \beta^{\sharp} - \iota(\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{[g]}\hat{\nabla} = {}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes \hat{\beta}^{\sharp} - \iota(\hat{\beta}).$$

Applying these formulae we obtain

$$\iota(\Upsilon + \beta - \hat{\beta}) = g \otimes \left(\beta^{\sharp} - \hat{\beta}^{\sharp}\right).$$

Taking the trace gives

$$(n+1)\left(\Upsilon + \beta - \hat{\beta}\right) = \beta - \hat{\beta},$$

so that $\Upsilon = -\frac{n}{(n+1)}(\beta - \hat{\beta})$. Therefore we must have

$$\iota\left(\beta - \hat{\beta}\right) = (n+1)g \otimes \left(\beta^\sharp - \hat{\beta}^\sharp\right).$$

Contracting this last equation with the dual metric $g^\sharp$ implies

$$0 = (n+2)(n-1)\left(\beta^\sharp - \hat{\beta}^\sharp\right),$$

so that $\beta = \hat{\beta}$ provided $n > 1$. It follows that Υ vanishes too, therefore ${}^{[g]}\nabla = {}^{[g]}\hat{\nabla}$, as claimed. □

Remark 1. Lemma (2.2) raises the question whether or not one can still determine a unique point ${}^{[g]}\nabla \in \mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ and a unique point $\nabla \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ in the general case, where $\mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ might not intersect. Formally speaking, we are interested in maps

$$\psi = \left(\psi^1, \psi^2\right) : \mathfrak{P}(M) \times \mathfrak{C}(M) \to \mathfrak{A}(M) \times \mathfrak{A}(M)$$

satisfying the following properties:

(i) $\psi^1(\mathfrak{p}, [g]) \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ and $\psi^2(\mathfrak{p}, [g]) \in \mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$;
(ii) If $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M) \cap \mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ is non-empty, then $\psi^2(\mathfrak{p}, [g]) - \psi^1(\mathfrak{p}, [g]) = 0$;
(iii) ψ is equivariant with respect to the natural right action of the diffeomorphism group $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on $\mathfrak{P}(M) \times \mathfrak{C}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{A}(M) \times \mathfrak{A}(M)$.

We will next discuss a geometrically natural and explicit map ψ having these properties.

To this end let g be a Riemannian metric on M and ∇ a torsion-free connection on TM. Consider the first-order differential operator for g mapping into the space of 1-forms on M with values in $\mathrm{End}(TM)$

$$g \mapsto \alpha_{[g]} = \left(\nabla - {}^g\nabla - g \otimes X_g\right)_0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $X_g \in \Gamma(TM)$ is

$$X_g = \frac{(n+1)}{(n+2)(n-1)}\ \mathrm{tr}\left(g^\sharp \otimes (\nabla - {}^g\nabla)_0\right). \tag{2.3}$$

The following result is essentially contained in [30]. For the convenience of the reader we include a proof.

Theorem 2.3. *The 1-form $\alpha_{[g]}$ has the following properties:*

(i) *the endomorphism $\alpha_{[g]}(X)$ is trace-free for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$;*
(ii) *for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ we have $\alpha_{[g]}(X)Y = \alpha_{[g]}(Y)X$;*
(iii) *$\alpha_{[g]}$ does only depend on the projective equivalence class of ∇;*
(iv) *$\alpha_{[g]}$ does only depend on the conformal equivalence class of g;*
(v) *$\alpha_{[g]} \equiv 0$ if and only if there exists a $[g]$-conformal connection which is projectively equivalent to ∇.*

Proof. The properties (i) and (ii) are obvious from the definition.

(iii) Recall that two affine torsion-free connections ∇ and $\hat{\nabla}$ are projectively equivalent if and only if $(\nabla - \hat{\nabla})_0 = 0$. The claim follows from the linearity of the "taking the trace-free part" operation.

(iv) Let $\hat{g} = \mathrm{e}^{2f} g$ for some smooth real-valued function f on M. Then we have

$$ {}^{\hat{g}}\nabla = {}^{g}\nabla - g \otimes {}^{g}\nabla f + \iota(\mathrm{d}f) $$

and hence

$$ \begin{aligned} \left(\nabla - {}^{\hat{g}}\nabla\right)_0 &= (\nabla - {}^{g}\nabla)_0 + (g \otimes {}^{g}\nabla f - \iota(\mathrm{d}f))_0 \\ &= (\nabla - {}^{g}\nabla)_0 + (g \otimes {}^{g}\nabla f)_0 \\ &= (\nabla - {}^{g}\nabla)_0 + g \otimes {}^{g}\nabla f - \frac{1}{(n+1)}\iota(\mathrm{d}f). \end{aligned} $$

We obtain

$$ \begin{aligned} X_{\hat{g}} &= \frac{(n+1)}{(n+2)(n-1)} \operatorname{tr}\left[\hat{g}^\sharp \otimes \left((\nabla - {}^{g}\nabla)_0 + g \otimes {}^{g}\nabla f - \frac{1}{(n+1)}\iota(\mathrm{d}f)\right)\right] \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{-2f}\left(X_g + \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+2)(n-1)}{}^{g}\nabla f - \frac{2}{(n+2)(n-1)}{}^{g}\nabla f\right) \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{-2f}\left(X_g + {}^{g}\nabla f\right). \end{aligned} $$

This gives

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^{\hat{g}}\nabla + \hat{g} \otimes X_{\hat{g}} &= {}^{g}\nabla - g \otimes {}^{g}\nabla f + \iota(\mathrm{d}f) + \mathrm{e}^{2f} g \otimes \mathrm{e}^{-2f}\left(X_g + {}^{g}\nabla f\right) \\ &= {}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes X_g + \iota(\mathrm{d}f), \end{aligned} $$

so that

$$ \left({}^{\hat{g}}\nabla + \hat{g} \otimes X_{\hat{g}}\right)_0 = \left({}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes X_g\right)_0, $$

which shows that $\alpha_{[g]}$ does indeed only depend on the conformal class of g.

(v) Recall that the $[g]$-conformal connections are of the form

$$ {}^{[g]}\nabla = {}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes \beta^\sharp - \iota(\beta), $$

where g is any metric in the conformal class $[g]$ and β is some 1-form on M. Therefore we have

$$ \left({}^{[g]}\nabla - {}^{g}\nabla\right)_0 = \left(g \otimes \beta^\sharp\right)_0 = g \otimes \beta^\sharp - \frac{1}{(n+1)}\iota(\beta) $$

and thus as before we compute that $X_g = \beta^\sharp$. We obtain

$$ \begin{aligned} \alpha_{[g]} &= \left[{}^{[g]}\nabla - \left({}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes X_g\right)\right]_0 \\ &= \left[{}^{g}\nabla + g \otimes \beta^\sharp - \iota(\beta) - {}^{g}\nabla - g \otimes \beta^\sharp\right]_0 = \left[-\iota(\beta)\right]_0 = 0. \end{aligned} $$

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{p}$ is a projective structure for which there exists a conformal structure $[g]$ with $\alpha_{[g]} = 0$. Fixing a Riemannian metric $g \in [g]$ and a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection ∇, we must have

$$\nabla - ({}^g\nabla + g \otimes X_g) = \iota(\beta),$$

for some 1-form β on M. Adding $\iota((X_g)^\flat)$ gives

$$\nabla - \left({}^g\nabla + g \otimes X_g - \iota\left((X_g)^\flat\right)\right) = \iota\left(\beta + (X_g)^\flat\right),$$

so that Lemma 2.1 implies that ∇ and the $[g]$-conformal connection

$${}^g\nabla + g \otimes X_g - \iota\left((X_g)^\flat\right)$$

are projectively equivalent. □

As a corollary to Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *For every conformal structure $[g]$ on the projective manifold $(M, \mathfrak{p})$, there exists a unique $[g]$-conformal connection ${}^{[g]}\nabla$ so that ${}^{[g]}\nabla + \alpha_{[g]}$ defines $\mathfrak{p}$.*

Note that Corollary 2.4 provides a unique point ${}^{[g]}\nabla \in \mathfrak{A}_{[g]}(M)$ and a unique point ${}^{[g]}\nabla + \alpha_{[g]} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$. We may define

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}, [g]) = \left({}^{[g]}\nabla + \alpha_{[g]}, {}^{[g]}\nabla\right),$$

where ${}^{[g]}\nabla$ is the unique conformal connection provided by Corollary 2.4. Since the map which sends a Riemannian metric to its Levi-Civita connection is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on the space of Riemannian metrics and on $\mathfrak{A}(M)$, it follows that the map ψ has all the properties listed in Remark 1.

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Let ∇ be a connection defining $\mathfrak{p}$ and g a smooth metric defining $[g]$. Set

$${}^{[g]}\nabla = {}^g\nabla + g \otimes X_g - (X_g)^\flat \otimes \mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Id} \otimes (X_g)^\flat,$$

where X_g is defined as before (see (2.3)). Then, property (i) of $\alpha_{[g]}$ proved in Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$\left(\nabla - \left({}^{[g]}\nabla + \alpha_{[g]}\right)\right)_0 = (\nabla - ({}^g\nabla + g \otimes X_g))_0 - \alpha_{[g]} = \alpha_{[g]} - \alpha_{[g]} = 0,$$

so that ${}^{[g]}\nabla + \alpha_{[g]}$ is projectively equivalent to ∇ by Lemma 2.1. If ${}^{[g]}\nabla'$ is another $[g]$-conformal connection so that ${}^{[g]}\nabla' + \alpha_{[g]}$ defines $\mathfrak{p}$, then

$$\left({}^{[g]}\nabla - {}^{[g]}\nabla'\right)_0 = 0,$$

hence ${}^{[g]}\nabla = {}^{[g]}\nabla'$ by Lemma 2.2. □

2.5. A diffeomorphism invariant functional. We will henceforth assume M to be oriented. For a pair $(\mathfrak{p}, [g])$ consisting of a projective structure and a conformal structure on M, we consider the non-negative n-form $|\alpha_{[g]}|_g^n d\mu_g$, where g is any metric defining $[g]$, the n-form $d\mu_g$ denotes its volume form and where $\alpha_{[g]}$ is computed with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$. For $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we have

$$|\alpha_{[g]}|_{\mathrm{e}^{2f}g} = \mathrm{e}^{-f}|\alpha_{[g]}|_g \quad \text{and} \quad d\mu_{\mathrm{e}^{2f}g} = e^{nf} d\mu_g,$$

it follows that $|\alpha_{[g]}|_g^n d\mu_g$ depends only on the conformal structure $[g]$. Consequently, we obtain a non-negative functional

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{P}(M) \times \mathfrak{C}(M) \to \mathbb{R}_0^+, \quad (\mathfrak{p}, [g]) \mapsto \int_M |\alpha_{[g]}|_g^n d\mu_g.$$

By construction, $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant under simultaneous action of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(M)$.

We may also fix a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on M and define $\mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{F}[(\mathfrak{p}, \cdot)]$ which is a functional on $\mathfrak{C}(M)$ only. As already pointed out in the introduction, we may study the infimum of $\mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p}$ among all conformal structures on M, and ask whether there is actually a minimising conformal structure which achieves this infimum. The infimum

$$\Gamma\delta(M, \mathfrak{p}) := \inf_{[g] \in \mathfrak{C}(M)} \mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p}([g]),$$

which may be considered as a measure of how far $\mathfrak{p}$ deviates from being defined by a conformal connection, is a new global invariant for oriented projective manifolds. Note that reversing the role of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $[g]$ does not give us a global invariant for conformal manifolds. Clearly, fixing a conformal structure and considering the infimum over $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ yields zero for every choice of conformal structure $[g]$.

The case most of interest is $n = 2$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is just the square of the L^2-norm of $\alpha_{[g]}$ taken with respect to $[g]$. We will henceforth consider the surface case only.

3. Projective surfaces and associated bundles

There are several natural geometric spaces fibering over an oriented projective surface which we will discuss next. Before doing so, we recall a result of Cartan [11] which canonically associates a principal bundle together with a "connection" to every projective manifold. The reader interested in a description of Cartan's construction using modern language may also consult [22].

3.1. Cartan's normal projective connection. Let Σ be an oriented surface and let $\mathrm{G} \simeq \mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ denote the two-dimensional orientation preserving affine group which we think of as the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$

consisting of matrices of the form

$$b \rtimes a = \begin{pmatrix} \det a^{-1} & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix},$$

for $b \in \mathbb{R}_2$ and $a \in \mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $\upsilon : F^+ \to \Sigma$ the principal right $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$-bundle of coframes that are orientation preserving with respect to the chosen orientation on Σ and the standard orientation on $\mathbb{R}^2$. We define a right G-action on $F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2$ by the rule

$$(u,\xi)\cdot(b\rtimes a) = \left(\det a^{-1} a^{-1} \circ u, \xi a \det a + b \det a\right), \tag{3.1}$$

for all $b \rtimes a \in \mathrm{G}$. Here $\xi : F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2 \to \mathbb{R}_2$ denotes the projection onto the later factor. This action turns $\pi : F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2 \to \Sigma$ into a principal right G-bundle over Σ, where $\pi : F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2 \to \Sigma$ denotes the natural basepoint projection. Suppose ∇ is a torsion-free connection on $T\Sigma$ with connection 1-form $\varphi = (\varphi^i_j)$ on F^+ so that we have the structure equations[2]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\omega^i &= -\varphi^i_j \wedge \omega^j, \\ \mathrm{d}\varphi^i_j &= -\varphi^i_k \wedge \varphi^k_j + (\delta^i_{[k} S_{l]j} - S_{[kl]}\delta^i_j)\omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \end{aligned}$$

where $S = (S_{ij})$ represents the *projective Schouten tensor* $\mathrm{Schout}(\nabla)$ of ∇ which is defined as

$$\mathrm{Schout}(\nabla) = \mathrm{Ric}^+(\nabla) - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Ric}^-(\nabla), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathrm{Ric}^{\pm}(\nabla)$ denote the symmetric and anti-symmetric part of the Ricci curvature of ∇. On $P = F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2$ we define the $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$-valued 1-form

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3}\operatorname{tr}\varphi - \xi\omega & \mathrm{d}\xi - \xi\varphi - S^t\omega - \xi\omega\xi \\ \omega & \varphi - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{I}\operatorname{tr}\varphi + \omega\xi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

The reader may check that the pair $(\pi : P \to \Sigma, \theta)$ defines a *Cartan geometry* of type $(\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{G})$, that is, $\pi : P \to \Sigma$ is a principal right G-bundle and θ is an $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$-valued 1-form on P satisfying the following properties:

(i) $\theta_u : T_uP \to \mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism for every $u \in P$;
(ii) $(R_g)^*\theta = g^{-1}\theta g$ for every $g \in \mathrm{G}$;
(iii) $\theta(X_v) = v$ for every fundamental vector field X_v generated by an element v in the Lie algebra of G.

Moreover, writing $\theta = (\theta^i_j)_{i,j=0,1,2}$, the Cartan geometry $(\pi : P \to \Sigma, \theta)$ also satisfies:

(iv) the leaves of the foliation defined by $\theta^2_1 = \theta^2_0 = 0$ project to become the geodesics of $\mathfrak{p}(\nabla)$;

[2]Indices in round brackets are symmetrised over and indices in square brackets are anti-symmetrised over, for instance, we write $S_{(ij)} = \frac{1}{2}(S_{ij} + S_{ji})$ and $S_{[ij]} = \frac{1}{2}(S_{ij} - S_{ji})$ so that $S_{ij} = S_{(ij)} + S_{[ij]}$.

(v) the π-pullback of an orientation compatible volume form on Σ is a positive multiple of $\theta_0^1 \wedge \theta_0^2$;
(vi) the curvature 2-form $\Theta = \mathrm{d}\theta + \theta \wedge \theta$ is

$$\Theta = \mathrm{d}\theta + \theta \wedge \theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_1\theta_0^1 \wedge \theta_0^2 & L_2\theta_0^1 \wedge \theta_0^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.4}$$

for unique curvature functions $L_i : P \to \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2. Cartan's bundle is unique in the following sense: If $(\hat{\pi} : \hat{P} \to \Sigma, \hat{\theta})$ is another Cartan geometry of type $(\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{G})$ so that the properties (iv),(v) and (vi) hold, then there exists a G-bundle isomorphism $B : P \to \hat{P}$ satisfying $B^*\hat{\theta} = \theta$.

A projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on Σ is called *flat* if every point $p \in \Sigma$ has a neighbourhood U_p which is diffeomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in such a way that the geodesics of $\mathfrak{p}$ contained in U_p are mapped onto (segments) of projective lines $\mathbb{RP}^1 \subset \mathbb{RP}^2$. Furthermore, a torsion-free connection ∇ on $T\Sigma$ is called *projectively flat* if $\mathfrak{p}(\nabla)$ is flat. Using Cartan's connection, one can show that a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ is flat if and only if the functions L_1 and L_2 vanish identically. Another consequence of Cartan's result is that there exists a unique 1-form $\lambda \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, \Lambda^2(T^*\Sigma))$ so that

$$\pi^*\lambda = (L_1\theta_0^1 + L_2\theta_0^2) \otimes \theta_0^1 \wedge \theta_0^2.$$

The 1-form λ was first discovered by R. Liouville [27], hence we call λ the *Liouville curvature* of $\mathfrak{p}$. In particular, the Liouville curvature is the complete obstruction to flatness of a two-dimensional projective structure.

Suppose $x = (x^i) : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ are local orientation preserving coordinates on Σ and let $\tilde{x} : U \to F^+$ denote the induced section via the exterior derivative so that $\tilde{x}^*\omega = \mathrm{d}x$. Then the pullback of the connection 1-form φ on F^+ is $\tilde{x}^*\varphi = \Gamma \mathrm{d}x$ where the function $\Gamma = (\Gamma^i_{jk}) : U \to S^2(\mathbb{R}_2) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ denotes the Christoffel symbols of φ with respect to x. In particular, if we define the function ξ on $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2$ by $\xi = -\frac{1}{3}\operatorname{tr}\Gamma$, then we obtain a *coordinate section* of Cartan's bundle which – by abuse of notation – we denote by $\tilde{x}$ as well and which satisfies

$$\tilde{x}^*\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ \mathrm{d}x & \Gamma_0 \mathrm{d}x \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from Cartan's result that the function $\Gamma_0 : U \to \left(S^2(\mathbb{R}_2) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2\right)_0$ is projectively invariant in the sense that it only depends on the coordinates x but not on the choice of a representative connection in the projective equivalence class of φ.

Example 3. Note that the left action of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ by matrix multiplication descends to define a transitive left action on the projective 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$. The stabiliser subgroup of the element $[(1\ 0\ 0)^t]$ is the group

$\mathrm{G} \subset \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ so that $\mathbb{S}^2 \simeq \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{G}$. Taking θ to be the Maurer-Cartan form of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$, the pair $(\pi : \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{S}^2, \theta)$ is a Cartan geometry of type $(\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{G})$ defining an orientation and projective structure $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$ whose geodesics are the "great circles". Since $\mathrm{d}\theta + \theta \wedge \theta = 0$, this projective structure is flat. We call $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{can}}$ the *canonical flat projective structure* on $\mathbb{S}^2$.

3.2. The twistor space. Inspired by Hitchin's twistorial description of holomorphic projective structures on complex surfaces [17], it was shown in [13, 34] how to construct a "twistor space" for smooth projective structures. For what follows it will be convenient to construct the twistor space in the smooth category by using the Cartan geometry of a projective surface.

Let therefore $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ be an oriented projective surface with Cartan geometry $(\pi : P \to \Sigma, \theta)$. By construction, the quotient of P by the normal subgroup $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \{\mathrm{Id}\} \subset \mathrm{G}$ is isomorphic to the bundle $\upsilon : F^+ \to \Sigma$ of orientation preserving coframes of Σ. In particular, the choice of a conformal structure $[g]$ on Σ corresponds to a section of the fibre bundle $\mathrm{C}(\Sigma) \simeq P/\left(\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)\right) \to \Sigma$. Here $\mathrm{CO}(2) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{SO}(2)$ is the linear orientation preserving conformal group. By construction, the typical fibre of the bundle $\mathrm{C}(\Sigma) \to \Sigma$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{CO}(2) \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2)$, that is, the open unit disk $D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$.

We write the elements of the group $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)$ in the following form

$$z \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} r^{-2} & \mathrm{Re}(z) & \mathrm{Im}(z) \\ 0 & r\cos\phi & r\sin\phi \\ 0 & -r\sin\phi & r\cos\phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Property (iii) of the Cartan geometry $(\pi : P \to \Sigma, \theta)$ implies that the (real – or complex-valued) 1-forms on P that are semibasic for the quotient projection $\mu : P \to \mathrm{C}(\Sigma)$[3] are linear combinations of the complex-valued 1-forms

$$\zeta_1 = \theta_0^1 + \mathrm{i}\theta_0^2, \qquad \zeta_2 = \left(\theta_1^1 - \theta_2^2\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(\theta_2^1 + \theta_1^2\right) \tag{3.5}$$

and their complex conjugates. The equivariance property (ii) of the Cartan geometry gives

$$\left(R_{z\rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}}\right)^* \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r^3}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} & 0 \\ \frac{z}{r}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} & \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

It follows that there exists a unique almost complex structure J on $\mathrm{C}(\Sigma)$ having the property that a complex-valued 1-form on P is the pullback of a (1,0)-form on $\mathrm{C}(\Sigma)$ if and only if it is a linear combination of ζ_1 and

[3]Recall that a differential form α is said to be semibasic for the projection $P \to \mathrm{C}(\Sigma)$ if the interior product $X \lrcorner \alpha$ vanishes for every vector field X tangent to the fibres of $P \to \mathrm{C}(\Sigma)$.

ζ_2. Using property (vi) of the Cartan geometry the reader may easily veryfiy that

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta_1 = \mathrm{d}\zeta_2 = 0, \quad \mod \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2.$$

It follows from the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem that J is integrable, thus giving $\mathrm{C}(\Sigma)$ the structure of a complex surface which we will denote by Z and which we call the *twistor space* of the projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$.

3.3. An indefinite Kähler-Einstein 3-fold. From (3.6) it follows that the holomorphic cotangent bundle $T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0} \to Z$ is the bundle associated to $\mu : P \to Z$ via the complex two-dimensional representation $\rho : \mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2) \to \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ defined by the rule

$$\rho(z \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi})(w_1\ w_2) = (w_1\ w_2)\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r^3}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} & 0 \\ \frac{z}{r}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} & \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.7}$$

for all $(w_1\ w_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2$. In particular, the form ζ_1 is well defined on Z up to complex-scale and hence may be thought of as a section of the projective holomorphic cotangent bundle $\mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0}) \to Z$. Abusing notation, we write $\zeta_1(Z) \subset \mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0})$ to denote the image of Z under this section. We now have:

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a unique integrable almost complex structure on the quotient $P/\mathrm{CO}(2)$ having the property that its (1,0)-forms pull back to P to become linear combinations of the forms*

$$\zeta_1 = \theta^1_0 + \mathrm{i}\theta^2_0, \quad \zeta_2 = \left(\theta^1_1 - \theta^2_2\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(\theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1\right), \quad \zeta_3 = \theta^0_1 + \mathrm{i}\theta^0_2.$$

Furthermore, with respect to this complex structure $P/\mathrm{CO}(2)$ is biholomorphic to $Y = \mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0}) \setminus \zeta_1(Z)$ in such a way that the standard holomorphic contact structure on Y is identified with the subbundle of $T_{\mathbb{C}}(P/\mathrm{CO}(2))^{1,0}$ defined by the equation $\zeta_2 = 0$.

Proof. It follows from the properties of the Cartan connection θ that the 1-forms that are semibasic for the quotient projection $\tau : P \to P/\mathrm{CO}(2)$ are linear combinations of the forms $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$ and their complex conjugates. Here $\mathrm{CO}(2) \subset \mathrm{G}$ is the subgroup consisting of elements of the form $0 \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$. Writing $r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$ instead of $0 \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$ and $\zeta = (\zeta_i)$, we compute from the equivariance property of θ that we have

$$(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}})^* \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r^3}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^3\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2 \\ \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.8}$$

It follows that there exists a unique almost complex structure $\mathfrak{J}$ on $P/\mathrm{CO}(2)$ having the property that its (1,0)-forms pull back to P to become linear combinations of the forms $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$. Translating the structure equations (3.4) into complex form gives

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta = -\gamma \wedge \zeta \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\zeta_1} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 & \psi - \overline{\psi} & \frac{1}{2}\zeta_1 \\ L\overline{\zeta_1} - \overline{L}\zeta_1 & \frac{1}{2}\overline{\zeta_3} & -\overline{\psi} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}\left(L_2 - \mathrm{i}L_1\right), \quad \psi = -\frac{1}{2}\left(3\theta_0^0 + \mathrm{i}(\theta_2^1 - \theta_1^2)\right).$$

We also note that

$$\mathrm{d}\psi = \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} - \frac{1}{4}\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} - \zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3}. \tag{3.10}$$

The integrability of $\mathfrak{J}$ now follows from (3.9) and the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem.

Consider the map

$$\tilde{B} : P \to P \times \mathbb{C}_2 \setminus \{0\}, \quad u \mapsto \left(u, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

and let $q : P \times \mathbb{C}_2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0})$ denote the natural quotient projection induced by (the projectivisation of) ρ. Then $q \circ \tilde{B} : P \to \mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0})$ is a submersion onto Y whose fibres are the CO(2)-orbits. Indeed, let (u, w) be a representative of an element $[\nu] \in \mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0})$ which lies in the complement of $\zeta_1(Z)$. Then using (3.7) it follows that we might transform with the affine part of the right action of $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)$ to ensure that w is of the form $(0\ w_2)$ for some non-zero complex number w_2. It follows that the element $u \in P$ is mapped onto $[\nu]$ showing that $q \circ \tilde{B}$ is surjective onto Y. Clearly $q \circ \tilde{B}$ is smooth and a submersion. Furthermore, suppose the two points $u, u' \in P$ are mapped to the same element of Y. Then, there exists an element $z \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \in \mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)$ and a non-zero complex number s so that

$$\rho\left((z \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi})^{-1}\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -zr^2\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\phi} & \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$$

which holds true if and only if $z = 0$. Consequently, there exists a unique diffeomorphism $B : P/\mathrm{CO}(2) \to Y$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\tilde{B}} & P \times \mathbb{C}_2 \setminus \{0\} \\ {\scriptstyle\tau}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ P/\mathrm{CO}(2) & \xrightarrow{B} & Y \end{array}$$

The complex structure on $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0})$ is such that its (1,0)-forms pull back to $P \times \mathbb{C}_2 \setminus \{0\}$ to become linear combinations of the 1-forms $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \mathrm{d}w_1, \mathrm{d}w_2$, where $w = (w_1\ w_2) : P \times \mathbb{C}_2 \to \mathbb{C}_2$ denotes the projection onto the linear factor. Clearly, these forms pull back under $\tilde{B}$ to become linear combinations of the forms $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$, hence B is a biholomorphism.

Finally, note that the complex version of the Liouville 1-form on $T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0}$ – whose kernel defines the canonical contact structure on $\mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0})$ –

pulls back to $P \times \mathbb{C}_2$ to become $w_1\zeta_1 + w_2\zeta_2$. Since

$$\tilde{B}^* (w_1\zeta_1 + w_2\zeta_2) = \zeta_2,$$

the claim follows. □

Remark 4. Alternatively, it follows from (3.8) that the equations $\zeta_2 = 0$ define a subbundle C of $T_{\mathbb{C}}(P/\mathrm{CO}(2))^{1,0} \simeq T_{\mathbb{C}}Y^{1,0}$ and furthermore (3.9) yields

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta_2 \wedge \zeta_2 = \zeta_1 \wedge \zeta_2 \wedge \zeta_3 \neq 0,$$

confirming that C is a holomorphic contact structure.

We will henceforth identify $Y \simeq P/\mathrm{CO}(2)$ and think of τ as the projection map onto Y.

Remark 5. The connection matrix γ in the proof of Lemma 3.1 takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(2,1)$ of the indefinite unitary group U(2,1), where the model of U(2,1) being used is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{C})$ that fixes the Hermitian form in 3-variables

$$H(z) = z_1\overline{z_3} + z_3\overline{z_1} + z_2\overline{z_2}.$$

Denoting the integrable almost complex structure on Y by J, the first part of the following proposition is therefore clear:

Proposition 3.2. *There exists a unique indefinite Kähler structure on (Y, J) whose Kähler-form Ω_Y satisfies*

$$\tau^*\Omega_Y = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left(\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} + \zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} + \zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2}\right).$$

Moreover, the indefinite Kähler metric $h_Y(\cdot,\cdot) := \Omega_Y(J\cdot,\cdot)$ is Einstein with non-zero scalar curvature.

Proof. The first part of the statement is an immediate consequence of the above remark, the skeptical reader might also verify this using the structure equations (3.9). Furthermore, by definition, the associated Kähler metric satisfies

$$\tau^*h_Y = \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_1 \circ \overline{\zeta_3} + \zeta_3 \circ \overline{\zeta_1} + \zeta_2 \circ \overline{\zeta_2}\right)$$

and hence the forms $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\zeta_i$ are a unitary coframe for τ^*h_Y. In order to verify the Einstein condition it is therefore sufficient that the trace of the curvature form

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{d}\gamma + \gamma \wedge \gamma$$

is a non-zero constant (imaginary) multiple of $\tau^*\Omega_Y$. We compute using the structure equations

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & -\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} & \zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} \\ -\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} & \Gamma_{22} & -\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} \\ \zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} + * & -\zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} & \Gamma_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\Gamma_{11} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} - \zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} - 4\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3}\right),$$
$$\Gamma_{22} = \frac{1}{4}\left(-\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} - 2\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} - \zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}\right),$$
$$\Gamma_{33} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} - \zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} - 4\zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}\right)$$

and where the entry $*$ is an expression that vanishes when $\mathfrak{p}$ is flat and whose precise form is irrelevant for what follows. In particular, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{22} + \Gamma_{33} = -4\mathrm{i}\tau^*\Omega_Y,$$

thus verifying the Einstein property. □

3.4. The canonical affine bundle. There is yet another quotient of Cartan's bundle which is of geometric interest. Suppose the projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined by a torsion-free connection ∇ with connection 1-form φ on F^+. Recall that F^+ is isomorphic to the quotient of P by the normal subgroup $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \{\mathrm{Id}\} \subset \mathrm{G}$. Writing

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} -\operatorname{tr}\kappa & \eta \\ \omega & \kappa \end{pmatrix}$$

for an $\mathbb{R}_2$-valued 1-form η, an $\mathbb{R}^2$-valued 1-form ω and an $\mathbb{R}_2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$-valued 1-form κ, from (3.3) we see that the pullback of φ to P satisfies

$$\varphi = \kappa + \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{tr}\kappa - \xi\omega\right) - \omega\xi \tag{3.11}$$

for a unique $\mathbb{R}_2$-valued function ξ on P. We compute

$$(b \rtimes a)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \det a & -(\det a)ba^{-1} \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

using the equivariance property (ii) of θ gives

$$(R_{b\rtimes a})^*\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \det a & -(\det a)ba^{-1} \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\operatorname{tr}\kappa & \eta \\ \omega & \kappa \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \det a^{-1} & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

so that the right hand side is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\det a \operatorname{tr}\kappa - (\det a)ba^{-1}\omega & (\det a)\eta - (\det a)ba^{-1}\kappa \\ a^{-1}\omega & a^{-1}\kappa \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \det a^{-1} & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

hence

$$(R_{b\rtimes a})^*\theta = \begin{pmatrix} -\operatorname{tr}\kappa - b \cdot a^{-1}\omega & \star \\ (\det a^{-1})a^{-1}\omega & a^{-1}\omega b + a^{-1}\kappa a \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\star = -(\det a)b\operatorname{tr}\kappa - (\det a)ba^{-1}\omega b + \eta(\det a)a - (\det a)ba^{-1}\kappa a. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, it follows from the equivariance properties of the Cartan connection θ and the connection form φ that

$$(R_{b\rtimes a})^*\,\xi = \xi a \det a + b \det a. \tag{3.14}$$

Conversely, given an $\mathbb{R}_2$-valued function ξ on P satisfying the equivariance property (3.14), it follows from Cartan's work that the equation $\xi = 0$ defines a reduction of P to a principal right $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$-bundle which is isomorphic to F^+ in such a way that $\kappa + \mathrm{I}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\kappa$ pulls back to F^+ to become the connection 1-form of a unique $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection. Consequently, the representative connections of $\mathfrak{p}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the $\mathbb{R}_2$-valued functions ξ on P satisfying (3.14). Equivalently, a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection is a section of the rank 2 affine bundle $\nu : E \to \Sigma$ associated to P via the affine G-action ρ defined by the rule

$$\rho(b \rtimes a)\xi = \xi a^{-1} \det a^{-1} - ba^{-1}.$$

We call $\nu : E \to \Sigma$ the *canonical affine bundle* of $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$. The affine bundle $E \to \Sigma$ is modelled on the vector bundle associated to the linear part of the affine G-action ρ, that is, the transformations defined by the rule

$$(b \rtimes a) \cdot \xi = \xi a^{-1} \det a^{-1}. \tag{3.15}$$

It is now an easy consequence of the equivariance properties of θ to check that the bundle associated to the G-representation defined by (3.15) is the cotangent bundle of Σ. Clearly, this is what one would expect from Weyl's Lemma 2.1 anyway.

By definition, an element of E is an equivalence class $[u, \xi]$ with $u \in P$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_2$ subject to the equivalence relation induced by ρ. Clearly, every element of E has a representative $(u, 0)$, unique up to a $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ transformation, where here $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{G}$ consists of those elements $b \rtimes a \in \mathrm{G}$ satisfying $b = 0$. For simplicity of notation we will henceforth write a instead of $0 \rtimes a$ for the elements of $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{G}$. It follows that as a smooth manifold, E is canonically diffeomorphic to the quotient $P/\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$.

From (3.12) and (3.13) we calculate

$$R_a^*(\eta \otimes \omega) = \eta a \det a \otimes \det a^{-1} a^{-1} \omega = \eta \otimes \omega. \tag{3.16}$$

Since the components of ω and η are semibasic for the quotient projection $\nu : P \to P/\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R}) \simeq E$, we obtain from (3.16):

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a unique split-signature metric h_E on E such that*

$$\nu^* h_E = \eta_1 \circ \omega^1 + \eta_2 \circ \omega^2$$

and a unique symplectic form Ω_E on E such that

$$\nu^* \Omega_E = \eta_1 \wedge \omega^1 + \eta_2 \wedge \omega^2.$$

Proof. The only thing left to show is that Ω_E is symplectic. Clearly, Ω_E is non-degenerate. Closedness of Ω_E is an easy computational consequence of the Bianchi-identity

$$\mathrm{d}\Theta = \Theta \wedge \theta - \theta \wedge \Theta$$

for the Cartan connection θ. □

Remark 6. The metric h_E on E can also be obtained from a certain gauge theoretic equation on projective surfaces which was introduced by Calderbank [9]. This viewpoint is explored in depth in [15]. In particular, there it is shown that h_E is anti-self-dual and Einstein with non-zero scalar curvature. Furthermore, the metric h_E and symplectic form Ω_E are related by an endomorphism $I : TE \to TE$ whose square is the identity, so that the pair (h_E, Ω_E) defines what is sometimes referred to as an *almost para-Kähler structure* or *bi-Lagrangian structure* in [15]. Moreover, the symplectic form is parallel for the Levi-Civita connection of h_E if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is flat.

3.5. The twistor space of the canonical affine bundle. We have seen that Y may be thought of as a submanifold of the projectivised holomorphic cotangent bundle $\mathbb{P}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}Z^{1,0}) \to Z$. By construction, Y fibres over the canonical affine bundle E as well, with the typical fibre being the open unit disk. N. Hitchin[4] pointed out to the author that Y can be embedded as a submanifold of the (Riemannian) twistor space of (E, h_E). We will however not explore this viewpoint here.

3.6. The canonical flat case. In this subsection we identify the spaces

$$Y = P/\mathrm{CO}(2), \quad Z = P/\left(\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)\right), \quad E = P/\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R}),$$

in the case where $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ is the canonical flat projective structure on the projective 2-sphere. Recall that in this case $P = \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$. We let $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ act from the left on $\mathbb{R}_3$ by

$$g \cdot \xi = \xi g^{-1}$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$. This action descends to define an action on $\mathbb{RP}_2$. We let $\left(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}_2\right)^*$ denote the submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}_2$ consisting of those pairs $([x]_+, [\xi])$ that are non-incident, that is, $\xi x \neq 0$. The group $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\left(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}_2\right)^*$. Indeed, let $([x]_+, [\xi])$ be an element in $\left(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}_2\right)^*$. Then we may act by an element $g \in \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ so that $[x]_+ = [(1\ 0\ 0)^t]_+$. Since the group $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ preserves the non-incidence of $([x]_+, [\xi])$ we may assume without loosing generality that $[\xi] = [1\ \hat{\xi}]$ for some $\hat{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}_2$. We compute

$$b \rtimes a \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{\xi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \det a & -ba^{-1} \det a + \hat{\xi} a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.17}$$

showing that $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\left(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}_2\right)^*$. From (3.17) we also see that the stabiliser subgroup of the element $([(1\ 0\ 0)^t]_+, [(1\ 0\ 0)]$ is $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ so that

$$\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \left(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}_2\right)^*.$$

[4]Private communication, August 2014.

and the projection map is

$$\nu : \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}) \to \left(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}_2\right)^*, \quad \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto ([g_0]_+, [g_1 \wedge g_2]),$$

where we use the canonical vector space isomorphism $\mathbb{R}_3 \simeq \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

The group $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ also acts naturally on $\mathbb{C}_3$ by complexification, that is, by the rule

$$g \cdot (\xi + \mathrm{i}\chi) = \xi g^{-1} + \mathrm{i}\chi g^{-1}$$

for all $g \in \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$. Clearly, this action descends to define a left action on $\mathbb{CP}_2$. However, this action is not transitive, but has two orbits. The first orbit is $\mathbb{RP}_2 \subset \mathbb{CP}_2$, where we think of $\mathbb{RP}_2$ as those points $[\xi + \mathrm{i}\chi] \in \mathbb{CP}_2$ which satisfy $\xi \wedge \overline{\chi} = 0$, that is, ξ and χ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{R}$. Assume therefore $[\varepsilon]$ is an element in the complement $\mathbb{CP}_2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}_2$ of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Since $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on unimodular triples of vectors in $\mathbb{R}_3$, we can assume without loosing generality that $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For $g \in \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ we write $g = \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $g_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We will next determine the stabiliser subgroup of $[\varepsilon]$. A simple computation gives

$$g \cdot \varepsilon = g_0 \wedge (g_1 + \mathrm{i}g_2)\,.$$

An elementary calculation shows that $[g \cdot \varepsilon] = [\varepsilon]$ implies that we must have

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^2 & -g_1^1 \\ g_2^2 & -g_2^1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_0^1 \\ g_0^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\det g = g_2^0\, c_1 - g_1^0\, c_2 + g_0^0 \det \begin{pmatrix} g_1^2 & -g_1^1 \\ g_2^2 & -g_2^1 \end{pmatrix} = 1,$$

it follows that $g_0^1 = g_0^2 = 0$. Therefore, the stabiliser subgroup of $[\varepsilon]$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Writing $a = (a^i_j)$, we obtain

$$(b \rtimes a) \cdot \varepsilon = \det a^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_1^2 - \mathrm{i}a_2^2 & a_1^1 + \mathrm{i}a_2^1 \end{pmatrix},$$

from which it follows that $[(b \rtimes a) \cdot \varepsilon] = [\varepsilon]$ if and only if $a_1^1 = a_2^2$ and $a_2^1 + a_1^2 = 0$, that is, $a \in \mathrm{CO}(2)$. Concluding, we have shown

$$\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}) / \left(\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)\right) \simeq \mathbb{CP}_2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}_2$$

and the projection map is

$$\mu : \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{CP}_2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}_2, \quad \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto [g_0 \wedge (g_1 + \mathrm{i}g_2)],$$

where again we use $\mathbb{R}_3 \simeq \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Remark 7. We have only shown that $Z = \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R}) / \left(\mathbb{R}_2 \times \mathrm{CO}(2)\right)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}_2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}_2$. Since Z carries an integrable almost complex structure J, we may ask if (Z, J) is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}_2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}_2$ equipped with the standard complex structure. This is indeed the case, see [32, Prop. 3]. As a consequence of this result one can prove that the conformal connections on the 2-sphere whose (unparametrised) geodesics are the great circles are in one-to-one correspondence with the smooth quadrics in $\mathbb{CP}_2 \setminus \mathbb{RP}_2$, see [32, Cor. 2].

Remark 8. In fact [26], if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a projective structure on the 2-sphere, all of whose geodesics are simple closed curves, then Z can be compactified and the compactification is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}_2$. This allowed Lebrun and Mason to prove that there is a nontrivial moduli space of such projective structures on the 2-sphere.

We will show next that Y is a submanifold of $F(\mathbb{C}_3)$. Clearly, the action of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ on the space $F(\mathbb{C}_3)$ of complete complex flags is not transitive, there is however an open orbit. Let $F(\mathbb{C}_3)^*$ denote the $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ orbit of the flag

$$(\ell,\Pi)=(\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon_1\},\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\})\,,$$

where

$$\varepsilon_1=\begin{pmatrix}0 & -\mathrm{i} & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad \varepsilon_2=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

We already know that the stabiliser subgroup G_0 of (ℓ,Π) must be a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_2\rtimes\mathrm{CO}(2)$. For $b\rtimes a\in\mathbb{R}_2\rtimes\mathrm{CO}(2)$ we write

$$b\rtimes a=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{x^2+y^2} & b_1 & b_2\\ 0 & x & y\\ 0 & -y & x\end{pmatrix},$$

with $x^2+y^2>0$. We compute

$$\varepsilon_2\cdot(b\rtimes a)=\begin{pmatrix}x^2+y^2 & -xb_1-yb_2 & -xb_2+yb_1\end{pmatrix}$$

which is easily seen to lie in the complex linear span of $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2$ if and only if $b_1=b_2=0$, hence

$$\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{CO}(2)\simeq F(\mathbb{C}_3)^*$$

and the projection map is

$$\tau:\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})\to F(\mathbb{C}_3)\quad \begin{pmatrix}g_0 & g_1 & g_2\end{pmatrix}\mapsto(\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon_1\},\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\})\,,$$

with

$$\varepsilon_1=g_0\wedge(g_1+\mathrm{i}g_2),\qquad \varepsilon_2=g_1\wedge g_2.$$

Since $F(\mathbb{C}_3)$ is real six-dimensional and since $\dim\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})-\dim\mathrm{CO}(2)=6$, it follows that $F(\mathbb{C}_3)^*$ is open.

Summarising, given an oriented projective surface $(\Sigma,\mathfrak{p})$, we obtain the following maps:

General case



Canonical flat case



4. The variational equations

By construction, a conformal structure $[g]$ on the oriented projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ is a section of $Z \to \Sigma$. Here we will show that every conformal structure $[g]$ admits a natural lift $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$. In doing so we recover the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ from a different viewpoint, which greatly simplifies the computation of its variational equations. We start with writing the structure equations of a conformal connection in complex form.

4.1. The structure equations of a conformal connection. On the bundle $\upsilon : F^+ \to \Sigma$ of orientation preserving coframes with tautological 1-form $\omega = (\omega^i)$, the connection 1-form $\psi = (\psi^i_j)$ of the $[g]$-conformal connection (2.1) can be expressed as follows: Fixing a metric $g \in [g]$ and writing $\upsilon^* g = g_{ij}\omega^i \otimes \omega^j$ for real-valued functions $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ on F^+, the Levi-Civita connection 1-form $\varphi = (\varphi^i_j)$ of g satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\omega^i &= -\varphi^i_j \wedge \omega^j, \\ \mathrm{d}g_{ij} &= g_{ik}\varphi^k_j + g_{kj}\varphi^k_i \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi^i_j + \varphi^i_k \wedge \varphi^k_j = g_{jk}K_g\omega^i \wedge \omega^k, \tag{4.1}$$

where the real-valued function K_g on F^+ is (the pullback of) the Gauss curvature of g. Therefore, writing $\upsilon^*\beta = b_i\omega^i$ for real-valued functions b_i on F^+, the connection 1-form of (2.1) is

$$\psi^i_j = \varphi^i_j + \left(b_k g^{ki} g_{jl} - \delta^i_j b_l - \delta^i_l b_j\right)\omega^l,$$

where the real-valued functions $g^{ij} = g^{ji}$ on F^+ satisfy $g^{ik}g_{kj} = \delta^i_j$. The equivariance properties of the functions b_i imply that there exist unique real-valued functions b_{ij} on F so that

$$\mathrm{d}b_i = b_j\varphi^j_i + b_{ij}\omega^j. \tag{4.2}$$

From the equivariance properties of the functions g_{ij} it follows that the conditions $g_{11} = g_{22}$ and $g_{12} = 0$ define a reduction of $\upsilon : F^+ \to M$ to the CO(2)-subbundle of orientation preserving $[g]$-conformal coframes $F^+_{[g]} \to M$. By an orientation preserving $[g]$-conformal coframe at $p \in M$ we mean a linear isomorphism $T_pM \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that is orientation preserving and conformal with respect to the orientation and conformal structure $[g]$ on M and the standard orientation and conformal structure on $\mathbb{R}^2$. On $F^+_{[g]}$ we obtain

$$0 = \mathrm{d}g_{12} = g_{11}\varphi^1_2 + g_{12}\varphi^2_2 + g_{12}\varphi^1_1 + g_{22}\varphi^2_1 = g_{11}(\varphi^1_2 + \varphi^2_1)$$

and hence $\varphi_1^2 = -\varphi_2^1$. Likewise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathrm{d}g_{11} - \mathrm{d}g_{22} = 2\left(g_{11}\varphi_1^1 + g_{12}\varphi_1^2\right) - 2\left(g_{12}\varphi_2^1 + g_{22}\varphi_2^2\right) \\ &= 2g_{11}\left(\varphi_1^1 - \varphi_2^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\varphi_1^1 = \varphi_2^2$. Renaming $\zeta_1 := \omega^1 + \mathrm{i}\omega^2$ and $\psi := \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_1^1 + \psi_2^2\right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\psi_2^1 - \psi_1^2\right)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \left(\varphi_1^1 - b_1\omega^1 - b_2\omega^2\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(\varphi_1^2 + b_2\omega^1 - b_1\omega^2\right) \\ &= \left(\varphi_1^1 - \beta\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(\varphi_1^2 - \star\beta\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\star$ denotes the Hodge star with respect to g and the orientation. Using this notation the first structure equation can be written in complex form

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta_1 = -\psi \wedge \zeta_1.$$

Abusing language slightly, we will henceforth call ψ *the connection form* of the conformal connection ${}^{(g,\beta)}\nabla$. Using (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain for the curvature 2-form

$$\Psi = \mathrm{d}\psi = \left((b_{12} - b_{21}) - \mathrm{i}\left(K_g g_{11} + b_{11} + b_{22}\right)\right)\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1},$$

Summarising, we have the complex structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\zeta_1 &= -\psi \wedge \zeta_1, \\ \mathrm{d}\psi &= s\,\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

with

$$s = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(K_g g_{11} + b_{11} + b_{22}\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(b_{12} - b_{21}\right)\right).$$

Simple calculations give the equivariance properties

$$\left(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}}\right)^* g_{11} = r^2 g_{11}, \quad \left(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}}\right)^*\left(b_{11} + b_{22}\right) = r^2(b_{11} + b_{22}),$$

and

$$\left(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}}\right)^* \zeta_1 = \frac{1}{r}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\zeta_1.$$

Since the complex-valued 1-form ζ_1 is semibasic for the quotient projection $F^+_{[g]} \to \Sigma$ and well defined on Σ up to complex scale, it follows that there exists a unique complex structure J on Σ having the property that a complex-valued 1-form on Σ is a (1,0)-form for J if and only if its pullback to $F^+_{[g]}$ is a complex multiple of ζ_1. Of course, the complex structure J is the complex structure induced by $[g]$ and the chosen orientation. Therefore, the real (1,1)-form $\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}g_{11}\,\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}$ is invariant under the CO(2)-right action and hence the pullback of a 2-form on Σ which is the area form $d\mu_g$ of g. Likewise, the real (1,1)-form $-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(b_{11} + b_{22})\,\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}$ is invariant under the CO(2)-right action and hence the pullback of a 2-form on Σ which is $(\delta_g\beta)\,d\mu_g$, where δ_g denotes the co-differential with respect to g. In particular, the curvature 2-form is

$$\Psi = -\mathrm{d}\beta + \mathrm{i}\left(\delta_g\beta - K_g\right)d\mu_g, \tag{4.4}$$

where we have used the identity $\mathrm{d}\beta = (b_{21} - b_{12})\,\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2$.

Conversely, a CO(2)-connection ψ on $F^+_{[g]} \to \Sigma$ satisfying the structure equations (4.3) is the connection form of a unique $[g]$-conformal connection on Σ.

Note that if we further impose the condition $g_{11} = 1$, then we reduce to an SO(2)-subbundle $F^+_g \subset F^+_{[g]}$ which consists of the orientation preserving g-orthonormal coframes. Evaluating $\mathrm{d}g_{11} = 0$, we see that on F^+_g we must have $\varphi^1_1 = \varphi^2_2 = 0$ so that

$$\psi = -\beta + \mathrm{i}\,(\varphi - \star\beta)\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where we write $\varphi := \varphi^2_1$. If moreover $\beta = 0$, so that $-\mathrm{i}\psi$ is a Levi-Civita connection, we obtain the structure equations

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta_1 = -\mathrm{i}\varphi \wedge \zeta_1,$$
$$\mathrm{d}\varphi = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} K_g \zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}.$$

In particular, the complex-valued functions a on F^+_g satisfying

$$\mathrm{d}a = \ell a \mathrm{i}\varphi \mod \zeta_1, \overline{\zeta_1}$$

are in one-to-one correspondence with the sections of the ℓ-th power of the canonical bundle $K_\Sigma = T^*_{\mathbb{C}}\Sigma^{1,0}$, where the complex structure is the one induced by $[g]$ and the orientation.

We conclude this subsection by construction the Cartan geometry $(\pi : P \to \Sigma, \theta)$ for the projective structure defined by the conformal connection ${}^{(g,\beta)}\nabla$. Using (3.3) and (4.5) while setting $\xi = 0$ gives the following $\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R})$-valued 1-form on F^+_g

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}\beta & (\delta_g\beta - K_g)\omega^1 + \frac{1}{3}(\star\mathrm{d}\beta)\omega^2 & -\frac{1}{3}(\star\mathrm{d}\beta)\omega^1 + (\delta_g\beta - K_g)\omega^2 \\ \omega^1 & -\frac{1}{3}\beta & \star\beta - \varphi \\ \omega^2 & \varphi - \star\beta & -\frac{1}{3}\beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.6}$$

Computing the curvature form gives

$$\mathrm{d}\phi + \phi \wedge \phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{L}_1\phi^1_0 \wedge \phi^2_0 & \hat{L}_2\phi^1_0 \wedge \phi^2_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\hat{L}_1\phi^1_0 + \hat{L}_2\phi^2_0 = -\star\,\mathrm{d}(K_g - \delta_g\beta) + \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{d}\star\mathrm{d}\beta - 2(K_g - \delta_g\beta)\star\beta - \frac{2}{3}\beta\star\mathrm{d}\beta.$$

The uniqueness part of Cartan's construction implies that there exists an SO(2)-bundle embedding $F^+_g \to P$ pulling back θ to ϕ.

In particular, if $\beta = 0$ so that ${}^{(g,\beta)}\nabla = {}^g\nabla$ is a Levi-Civita connection, the Liouville curvature λ of $\mathfrak{p}({}^g\nabla)$ is given by $\star\mathrm{d}K \otimes d\mu_g$. Consequently, the only Riemannian metrics giving rise to flat projective structures are those of constant Gauss curvature, in agreement with a classical result of Beltrami.

4.2. Submanifold theory in the twistor space. We are interested in co-dimension two submanifolds of Z arising as images of sections of $Z \to \Sigma$. The second order theory of such submanifolds is summarised in the following:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ be a conformal structure on $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$. Then there exists a lift $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$ covering $[g]$ so that the pullback-bundle $p : P'_{[g]} = \widetilde{[g]}^* P \to \Sigma$ is isomorphic to the $\mathrm{CO}(2)$-bundle of orientation preserving $[g]$-conformal coframes and so that on $P'_{[g]}$ we have*

$$\zeta_2 = \overline{a}\,\overline{\zeta_1}, \quad \zeta_1 \wedge \zeta_3 = \overline{q}\,\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1},$$

for unique complex-valued functions a, q on $P'_{[g]}$. In particular, $[g]$ determines the following objects on Σ:

(i) *a quadratic differential $Q_{[g]} \in \Gamma(K_\Sigma^2)$ that is represented by q;*
(ii) *a section $A_{[g]}$ of $K_\Sigma^2 \otimes \overline{K_\Sigma^{-1}}$ that is represented by a;*
(iii) *a $[g]$-conformal connection ${}^{[g]}\nabla$ whose connection form pulls back to $P'_{[g]}$ to become ψ.*

Here K_Σ denotes the canonical bundle of Σ with respect to the complex structure on Σ induced by $[g]$ and the orientation.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ be a conformal structure on $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ and let $p : P_{[g]} = [g]^* P \to \Sigma$ denote the pullback of the bundle $\mu : P \to Z$, that is,

$$P_{[g]} = \{(p, u) \in \Sigma \times P \,|\, [g](p) = \mu(u)\}.$$

Since $P_{[g]}$ is 6-dimensional, two of the components of θ become linearly dependent when pulled back to $P_{[g]}$. Clearly, these components must be among the 1-forms that are semibasic for μ. Recall that these forms are spanned by ζ_1, ζ_2 and their complex conjugates. However, since $[g]$ is a section of $Z \to \Sigma$ and since the 1-forms that are semibasic for the projection $\pi : P \to \Sigma$ are spanned by $\zeta_1, \overline{\zeta_1}$, it follows that $\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}$ is non-vanishing on $P_{[g]}$. Therefore, on $P_{[g]}$ we have the relation

$$\zeta_2 = \overline{a}\overline{\zeta_1} + b\zeta_1 \tag{4.7}$$

for unique complex-valued functions a, b. From the equivariance properties of ζ_1, ζ_2 under the $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)$-right action (3.6), we obtain that for all $u \in P_{[g]}$ and $z \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \in \mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)$ we have

$$b(u \cdot z \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}) = r^3 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} b(u) + r^2 z$$

and

$$a(u \cdot z \rtimes r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}) = r^3 \mathrm{e}^{-3\mathrm{i}\phi} a(b). \tag{4.8}$$

It follows that the equation $b = 0$ defines a locus that corresponds to a section $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$ covering $[g]$. On the pullback bundle $P'_{[g]} = \widetilde{[g]}^* P$,

where

$$P'_{[g]} = \left\{ (p,u) \in \Sigma \times P \,|\, \widetilde{[g]}(p) = \tau(u) \right\},$$

we obtain

$$\zeta_2 = \overline{a}\overline{\zeta_1}. \tag{4.9}$$

Since $P'_{[g]}$ is 4-dimensional, two of the remaining components of θ become linearly dependent when pulled back to $P'_{[g]}$. Since the 1-forms that are semibasic for the projection $\tau : P \to Y$ are spanned by $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$ and their complex conjugates it follows as before that

$$\zeta_3 = \left(\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 - \frac{2}{3}s - \frac{4}{3}\overline{s} \right) \zeta_1 + \overline{q}\,\overline{\zeta_1} \tag{4.10}$$

for unique complex-valued functions s, q. Summarising, we obtain the following structure equations on $P'_{[g]}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\zeta_1 &= -\psi \wedge \zeta_1, \\ \mathrm{d}\psi &= s\,\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Recall that Cartan's bundle $\pi : P \to \Sigma$ is isomorphic to $F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2 \to \Sigma$ equipped with the G-right action (3.1). Therefore, $P_{[g]} \to \Sigma$ is isomorphic to $F^+_{[g]} \times \mathbb{R}_2 \to \Sigma$, where $F^+_{[g]} \to \Sigma$ is the bundle of orientation compatible $[g]$-conformal coframes. Consequently, the bundle $P'_{[g]} \to \Sigma$ is isomorphic to $F^+_{[g]} \to \Sigma$. In particular, a complex-valued 1-form on Σ is a (1,0)-form for the complex structure J induced by $[g]$ and the orientation if and only if its p-pullback to $P'_{[g]}$ is a complex multiple of ζ_1. Since

$$(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}})^*\,\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{r^3}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\zeta_1$$

it follows that the sections of K_Σ^2 are in one-to-one correspondence with the complex-valued functions f on $P'_{[g]}$ satisfying

$$(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}})^*\,f = r^3\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi} r^3 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi} f = r^6 \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\phi} f.$$

Likewise, it follows that the sections of $K_\Sigma^2 \otimes \overline{K_\Sigma^{-1}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the complex-valued functions f on $P'_{[g]}$ satisfying

$$(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}})^*\,f = r^3\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi} r^3 \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi} \overline{r^{-3}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}} f = r^3 \mathrm{e}^{-3\mathrm{i}\phi} f.$$

From (4.10) and (3.8) we obtain that for all $u \in P'_{[g]}$ and $r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \in \mathrm{CO}(2)$

$$q(u \cdot r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}) = r^6 \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\phi} q(u). \tag{4.12}$$

Equation (4.12) implies that there exists a unique quadratic differential $Q_{[g]} \in \Gamma(K_\Sigma^2)$ that is represented by q and (4.8) implies that there exists a unique section $A_{[g]}$ of $K_\Sigma^2 \otimes \overline{K_\Sigma^{-1}}$ that is represented by a.

Finally, it follows from the properties (ii) and (iii) of the Cartan connection that ψ is a connection 1-form on the $\mathrm{CO}(2)$-bundle $P'_{[g]} \to \Sigma$. Its

pushforward under the bundle isomorphism $P'_{[g]} \to F^+_{[g]}$ is then a CO(2)-connection and from (4.11) it follows that the pushforward connection satisfies the structure equations (4.3), hence it is the connection form of a $[g]$-conformal connection. □

We call a map $F : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ between two pseudo-Riemannian manifolds *weakly conformal* if $F^*h = fg$ for some smooth function f on M. Two immediate consequences of Proposition 4.1 are:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $[g]$ be a conformal structure on $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$. Then the lift $\widetilde{[g]} : (\Sigma, [g]) \to (Y, h_Y)$ is weakly conformal if and only if $Q_{[g]} \equiv 0$. Furthermore, the image of $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ is a holomorphic curve if and only if $A_{[g]} \equiv 0$. In particular, if $[g](\Sigma) \subset Z$ is a holomorphic curve, then $\widetilde{[g]}(\Sigma) \subset Y$ is a holomorphic contact curve.*

Here we call a holomorphic curve $\Sigma \subset Y$ a *contact curve* if its tangent bundle is contained in the (holomorphic) contact structure of Y.

Proof of Corollary 4.2. By construction, the metric h_Y has the property that its pullback to P is

$$\tau^* h_Y = \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_1 \circ \overline{\zeta_3} + \zeta_3 \circ \overline{\zeta_1} + \zeta_2 \circ \overline{\zeta_2}\right).$$

Therefore, from (4.9) and (4.10) it follows that

$$p^*\left(\widetilde{[g]}^* h_Y\right) = \left(|a|^2 - (s + \overline{s})\right)\zeta_1 \circ \overline{\zeta_1} + \frac{q}{2}\,\zeta_1 \circ \zeta_1 + \frac{\overline{q}}{2}\,\overline{\zeta_1} \circ \overline{\zeta_1}. \tag{4.13}$$

Recall that a complex-valued 1-form on Σ is a (1,0)-form for the complex structure defined by $[g]$ and the orientation if and only if its p-pullback to $P'_{[g]}$ is a complex multiple of ζ_1. Therefore, it follows from (4.13) that $\widetilde{[g]}^* h_Y$ is weakly conformal to $[g]$ if and only if q vanishes identically, the first claim follows.

The second part of the claim is an immediate consequence of (4.9) and the characterisation of the complex structures on Z, Y in terms of $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$ and the characterisation of the holomorphic contact structure in terms of $\zeta_2 = 0$. □

Proposition 4.1 shows that for every choice of a conformal structure $[g]$ on Σ we obtain a section $A_{[g]}$ of $K_\Sigma^2 \otimes \overline{K_\Sigma^{-1}}$. Note that we may think of a section of $K_\Sigma^2 \otimes \overline{K_\Sigma^{-1}}$ as a 1-form on Σ with values in the endomorphisms of the complexified tangent bundle $T^{\mathbb{C}}\Sigma \to \Sigma$. Consequently, the real part of $A_{[g]}$ is a 1-form on Σ with values in $\mathrm{End}(T\Sigma)$. Recall that we have already encountered an endomorphism valued 1-form $\alpha_{[g]}$ whose properties we discussed in Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 4.3. *We have $\mathrm{Re}(A_{[g]}) = \alpha_{[g]}$ and moreover*

$$p^*\left(|\alpha_{[g]}|_g^2\, d\mu_g\right) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|a|^2 \zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Recall that local orientation preserving coordinates $x = (x^i) : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ on Σ induce a coordinate section $\tilde{x} : U \to P$ of Cartan's bundle. For a given conformal structure $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ we choose a local coordinate $z = (x^1 + \mathrm{i}x^2) : U \to \mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure induced by $[g]$ and the orientation. It follows from the construction of Cartan's bundle given in §3.1 that restricted to U, we have $[g] = \mu \circ \tilde{x}$ where $\mu : P \to Z$ denotes the quotient projection. In particular, using (3.5) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2 &= (\theta_1^1 - \theta_2^2) + \mathrm{i}(\theta_2^1 + \theta_1^2) = \sigma_i^j \theta_j^i - \mathrm{i}\sigma_k^i J_l^k \theta_i^l \\ &= \overline{a}\overline{\zeta_1} + b\zeta_1 = \overline{a}(\theta_0^1 - \mathrm{i}\theta_0^2) + b(\theta_0^1 + \mathrm{i}\theta_0^2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where we use the notation

$$\sigma = (\sigma_k^i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = (J_k^i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The forms θ_0^i and θ_j^i, when pulled back to Σ satisfy

$$\theta_0^i = \mathrm{d}x^i \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_j^i = \Pi_{jk}^i \mathrm{d}x^k,$$

where we write $\Pi_{jk}^i = (\Gamma_0)_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{3}\left(\delta_j^i \Gamma_{sk}^s + \delta_k^i \Gamma_{sj}^s\right)$. Abusing notation, we also use $\tilde{x}$ to denote the (local) section of $P'_{[g]} \to \Sigma$ induced by $\tilde{x} : U \to P$. Writing $\tilde{x}^* a = a_1 + \mathrm{i}a_2$, we obtain from the first equation in (4.15) and standard linear algebra (see (B.1) in Appendix B)

$$a_l = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_i^j \Pi_{jk}^i \sigma_l^k + \sigma_j^i J_v^j \Pi_{ik}^v J_u^k \sigma_l^u\right). \tag{4.16}$$

By definition of $A_{[g]}$

$$A_{[g]} = (a_1 + \mathrm{i}a_2)\, \mathrm{d}z \otimes \mathrm{d}z \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}$$

and therefore, again by standard linear algebra (see B.2 in Appendix B), we obtain the following coordinate expression on U

$$\mathrm{Re}(A_{[g]}) = \left(\left(a_u \sigma_{(l}^u \sigma_j^v \delta_{k)v} - \frac{1}{2} a_{(l}\delta_{jk)}\right)\delta^{li}\right) \mathrm{d}x^j \otimes \mathrm{d}x^k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

In order to compute $\alpha_{[g]}$ we choose locally the flat metric on U so that $g = (\mathrm{d}x^1)^2 + (\mathrm{d}x^2)^2$ in the local $[g]$-isothermal orientation preserving coordinate $x : U \to \mathbb{R}^2$. Since the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection of g vanish identically, we obtain from (2.3) for X_g

$$X_g = \frac{3}{4}\delta^{jk}\Pi_{jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

Consequently, (2.2) gives

$$\alpha_{[g]} = \left(\Pi_{jk}^i - \frac{3}{4}\left(\delta_{jk}\delta^{uv}\Pi_{uv}^i\right)_0\right) \mathrm{d}x^j \otimes \mathrm{d}x^k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

It is now a tedious but straightforward calculation to verify that

$$\Pi^i_{jk} - \frac{3}{4}\left(\delta_{jk}\delta^{uv}\Pi^i_{uv}\right)_0 = \left(a_u\sigma^u_{(l}\sigma^v_j\delta_{k)v} - \frac{1}{2}a_{(l}\delta_{jk)}\right)\delta^{li}$$

where a_i is given by (4.16). Moreover, in our coordinates we obtain

$$|\alpha_{[g]}|^2_g\, d\mu_g = \left((a_1)^2 + (a_2)^2\right) \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2,$$

so that $p^*\left(|\alpha_{[g]}|^2_g\, d\mu_g\right) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}|a|^2\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2}$, as claimed. □

Note that $A_{[g]}$ vanishes if and only if $\alpha_{[g]}$ vanishes. Therefore, as an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.3, Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 4.2, we obtain an alternative proof of [32, Theorem 3] (see also [31] for a 'generalisation' to higher dimensions):

Theorem 4.4. *A conformal structure $[g]$ on $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ is preserved by a conformal connection defining $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if the image of $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ is a holomorphic curve.*

Remark 9. Locally the bundle $Z \to \Sigma$ always admits sections having holomorphic image and therefore every torsion-free connection on $T\Sigma$ is locally projectively equivalent to a conformal connection (see [32] for additional details).

4.3. Derivation of the variational equations. Applying a technique from [6], we compute the variational equations for the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For a compact domain $C \subset \Sigma$ and a section $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ we write

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p},C}([g]) = \int_C |\alpha_{[g]}|^2_g d\mu_g.$$

Definition 1. We say $[g]$ is an $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-critical point or that $[g]$ *is extremal for the projective structure* $\mathfrak{p}$ if for every compact $C \subset \Sigma$ and for every smooth variation $[g]_t : \Sigma \to Z$ with support in C, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p},C}([g]_t) = 0.$$

Using this definition we obtain:

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ be an oriented projective surface. A conformal structure $[g]$ on Σ is extremal for $\mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\widetilde{[g]} : (\Sigma, [g]) \to (Y, h_Y)$ is weakly conformal.*

Proof. Let $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ a conformal structure and $[g]_t : \Sigma \to Z$ a smooth variation of $[g]$ with support in some compact set $C \subset \Sigma$ and with $|t| < \varepsilon$. We consider the submanifold of $\Sigma \times P \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ defined by

$$P'_{[g]_t} = \left\{(p, u, t_0) \in \Sigma \times P \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \,|\, (p, u) \in P'_{[g]_{t_0}}\right\}$$

and denote by $\iota_{[g]_t} : P'_{[g]_t} \to \Sigma \times P \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ the inclusion map. On $\Sigma \times P \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ we define the real-valued 2-form

$$\mathsf{A} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2}.$$

Now Lemma 4.3 implies

$$f(t_0) := \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p},C}([g]_t)|_{t=t_0} = \int_C \left((\iota_{[g]_t})^* \mathsf{A}\right)\big|_{t=t_0}.$$

Therefore

$$f'(0) = \int_C \left(\mathrm{L}_{\partial_t}(\iota_{[g]_t})^*\mathsf{A}\right)\big|_{t=0} = \int_C \left(\partial_t \lrcorner (\iota_{[g]_t})^*\mathrm{d}\mathsf{A}\right)\big|_{t=0},$$

where L_{∂_t} denotes the Lie-derivative with respect to the vector field ∂_t. The structure equations (3.9) give

$$\mathrm{d}\mathsf{A} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\zeta_1 \wedge \zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} - \zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} \wedge \overline{\zeta_3}\right).$$

It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.1 that on $P'_{[g]_t}$ there exist complex-valued functions a, r, q, β, γ such that

$$\zeta_2 = \overline{a}\overline{\zeta_1} + \beta \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_3 = r\zeta_1 + \overline{q}\overline{\zeta_1} + \gamma \mathrm{d}t \tag{4.17}$$

where we now write ζ_i instead of $(\iota_{[g]_t})^*\zeta_i$. In particular, this gives

$$(\iota_{[g]_t})^*\mathrm{d}\mathsf{A} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(q\beta + \overline{q}\overline{\beta}\right)\mathrm{d}t \wedge \zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}$$

so that

$$f'(0) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int_C \left(q\beta + \overline{q}\overline{\beta}\right)\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}\big|_{t=0}. \tag{4.18}$$

Recall that $(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}})^*\,\zeta_2 = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\phi}\zeta_2$ and therefore, by definition, the complex-valued function $\beta|_{t=0}$ satisfies

$$(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}})^*\,(\beta|_{t=0}) = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\phi}\,(\beta|_{t=0}).$$

Since $(R_{r\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}})^*\,\zeta_1 = r^{-3}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\zeta_1$ it follows that $\beta|_{t=0}$ represents a *Beltrami differential*, i.e., a section of $\overline{K_\Sigma} \otimes K_\Sigma^{-1}$ with support in C. Here K_Σ denotes the canonical bundle of Σ with respect to the complex structure induced by the orientation and $[g] = [g]_t|_{t=0}$.

It remains to show that every Beltrami differential in (4.17) with support in C can be realised via some variation of $[g]$. We fix a representative metric $g \in [g]$. Let $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ be the real-valued functions on Cartan's bundle P so that $\pi^*g = g_{ij}\theta_0^i \otimes \theta_0^j$. In particular, from the equivariance properties of the Cartan connection θ it follows that

$$(R_{b\rtimes a})^*\begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22}\end{pmatrix} = (\det a)^2 a^t \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22}\end{pmatrix} a.$$

Infinitesimally this implies the existence of unique real-valued functions $g_{ijk} = g_{jik}$ so that

$$\mathrm{d}g_{ij} = -2g_{ij}\theta_0^0 + g_{kj}\theta_i^k + g_{ik}\theta_j^k + g_{ijk}\theta_0^k. \tag{4.19}$$

Consider the following conformally invariant functions

$$G = \frac{(g_{11} - g_{22}) + 2ig_{12}}{\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22} - (g_{12})^2}}, \quad H = \frac{g_{11} + g_{22}}{\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22} - (g_{12})^2}}.$$

Translating (4.19) into complex form gives the following structure equation

$$\mathrm{d}G = G'\zeta_1 + G''\overline{\zeta_1} + H\zeta_2 + \overline{G}\left(\overline{\psi} - \psi\right),$$

for unique complex-valued functions G', G'' on P. Fix a Beltrami-differential with respect to $[g]$ having support in C and let β be the complex-valued function on P representing it. For sufficiently small t we may vary $[g]$ by defining $[g]_t$ via the zero-locus of the function

$$G_t = G - t\beta H$$

so that on

$$P_{[g]_t} = \left\{(p, u, t_0) \in \Sigma \times P \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \,|\, (p, u) \in P_{[g]_{t_0}}\right\}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathrm{d}G_t &= \mathrm{d}G - \mathrm{d}t\beta H - t\mathrm{d}\left(\beta H\right) \\ &= G'\zeta_1 + G''\overline{\zeta_1} + H\zeta_2 + \overline{G}\left(\overline{\psi} - \psi\right) - \mathrm{d}t\beta H - t\mathrm{d}\left(\beta H\right) \\ &= G'\zeta_1 + G''\overline{\zeta_1} + H\overline{\zeta_2} + t\overline{\beta}H\left(\overline{\psi} - \psi\right) - \mathrm{d}t\beta H - t\mathrm{d}\left(\beta H\right) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we evaluate this last equation on $P_{[g]_t}\big|_{t=0}$, we obtain

$$0 = G'\zeta_1 + G''\overline{\zeta_1} + H\overline{\zeta_2} - \mathrm{d}t\beta H$$

Since H is non-vanishing on $P_{[g]_t}\big|_{t=0}$ we must have

$$\zeta_2 = -\frac{G'}{H}\zeta_1 - \frac{G''}{H}\overline{\zeta_1} + \beta\mathrm{d}t.$$

Since $P'_{[g]_t}$ arises by reducing $P_{[g]_t}$, it follows that on $P'_{[g]_t}\Big|_{t=0}$ we obtain

$$\zeta_2 = -\frac{G''}{H}\overline{\zeta_1} + \beta\mathrm{d}t.$$

Finally, we now know that (4.18) must vanish where β is any complex-valued function representing an arbitrary Beltrami differential with support in C. This is only possible if $q|_{t=0}$ vanishes identically. Applying Corollary 4.2 proves the claim. □

Remark 10. Clearly, if $[g](\Sigma) \subset Z$ is a holomorphic curve, then $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$ is weakly conformal. Using the structure equations this can be seen as follows. The structure equation for $\overline{\zeta_2}$ is

$$\mathrm{d}\overline{\zeta_2} = -\overline{\zeta_1} \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} + \left(\psi - \overline{\psi}\right) \wedge \overline{\zeta_2}.$$

Using the frame adaptions of Proposition 4.1 where

$$\zeta_2 = \overline{a}\overline{\zeta_1}, \qquad \zeta_3 = \left(\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 - \frac{2}{3}s - \frac{4}{3}\overline{s}\right)\zeta_1 + \overline{q}\,\overline{\zeta_1},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\overline{\zeta_2} &= \mathrm{d}(a\zeta_1) = (\mathrm{d}a - a\psi)\wedge\zeta_1 - \frac{1}{2}a\zeta_2\wedge\overline{\zeta_1} = (\mathrm{d}a - a\psi)\wedge\zeta_1\\ &= q\zeta_1\wedge\overline{\zeta_1} + a\zeta_1\wedge(\overline{\psi} - \psi),\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\mathrm{d}a + q\overline{\zeta_1} - 2a\psi + a\overline{\psi}\right)\wedge\zeta_1 = 0$$

so that

$$\mathrm{d}a = a'\zeta_1 - q\overline{\zeta_1} + 2a\psi - a\overline{\psi}$$

for some complex-valued function a' on $P'_{[g]}$. It follows that if $[g](\Sigma)\subset Z$ is a holomorphic curve, so that a vanishes identically, then q must vanish identically as well, showing that $\widetilde{[g]}:\Sigma\to Y$ is weakly conformal. Consequently, every projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ locally admits a conformal structure $[g]$ so that $\widetilde{[g]}$ is weakly conformal.

We conclude this section by showing that in the compact case $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}([g])$ is – up to a topological constant – just the Dirichlet energy of $\widetilde{[g]}:(\Sigma,[g])\to(Y,h_Y)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $(\Sigma,\mathfrak{p})$ be a compact oriented projective surface. Then for every conformal structure $[g]:\Sigma\to Z$ we have*

$$\int_\Sigma |\alpha_{[g]}|_g^2 d\mu_g = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma) + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_g\,\widetilde{[g]}^*h_Y\,d\mu_g,$$

where $\chi(\Sigma)$ denotes the Euler-characteristic of Σ.

Proof. Recall from (4.13) that

$$p^*\left(\widetilde{[g]}^*h_Y\right) = \left(|a|^2 - (s+\overline{s})\right)\zeta_1\circ\overline{\zeta_1} + \frac{q}{2}\,\zeta_1\circ\zeta_1 + \frac{\overline{q}}{2}\,\overline{\zeta_1}\circ\overline{\zeta_1}.$$

where s is the curvature function of the induced $[g]$-conformal connection ψ, that is,

$$\Psi = \mathrm{d}\psi = s\zeta_1\wedge\overline{\zeta_1}.$$

In particular, we get

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Psi) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\mathrm{d}\psi - \mathrm{d}\overline{\psi}\right) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(s+\overline{s})\zeta_1\wedge\overline{\zeta_1}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_g\,\widetilde{[g]}^*h_Y\,d\mu_g &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma 2\left(|a|^2 - (s+\overline{s})\right)\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\zeta_1\wedge\overline{\zeta_1} = \int_\Sigma |\alpha_{[g]}|_g^2 d\mu_g\\ &\quad + \int_\Sigma \mathrm{Im}(\Psi).\end{aligned}$$

We have seen in §4.1 that the imaginary part of the curvature form of a $[g]$-conformal connection can be written as

$$\mathrm{Im}(\Psi) = (\delta_g\beta - K_g)\,d\mu_g,$$

where $g \in [g]$ and β is some 1-form. Therefore, the claim follows by applying the Stokes – and Gauss–Bonnet theorem. □

As an obvious consequence of Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 2.3 we get the lower bound:

Corollary 4.7. *Let $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ be a compact oriented projective surface. Then for every conformal structure $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \operatorname{tr}_g \widetilde{[g]}^* h_Y \, d\mu_g \geq -2\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

with equality if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined by a $[g]$-conformal connection.

5. Existence of critical points

Clearly, if a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined by a $[g]$-conformal connection, then the conformal structure $[g]$ is a critical point for $\mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p}$ and moreover an absolute minimiser. In this final section we show that besides this obvious class of projective structures there is another class of projective structures – the so-called properly convex projective structures – for which $\mathcal{E}_\mathfrak{p}$ admits a critical point.

5.1. Submanifold theory in the canonical affine bundle. Recall that the sections of the rank 2 affine bundle $E \to \Sigma$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the representative connections of $\mathfrak{p}$. By construction, the sections of $E \to \Sigma$ are represented by $\mathbb{R}_2$-valued maps ξ on P satisfying the equivariance property

$$(R_{b \rtimes a})^* \, \xi = \xi a \det a + b \det a$$

for all $b \rtimes a \in \mathrm{G}$. Infinitesimally, this translates to ξ satisfying the structure equations

$$\mathrm{d}\xi_i = -\xi_i \theta_0^0 + \xi_j \theta_i^j + \theta_i^0 + (S_{ji} - \xi_j \xi_i)\, \theta_0^j \tag{5.1}$$

for unique real-valued functions S_{ij} on P. From the construction of Cartan's bundle we know that the function $S = (S_{ij})$ represents the projective Schouten tensor of the connection $\nabla \in \mathfrak{p}$ corresponding to ξ, that is, the π-pullback to P of the Schouten tensor of ∇ becomes $S_{ij}\theta_0^i \otimes \theta_0^j$. We write

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} - \frac{1}{3}R \\ R_{21} + \frac{1}{3}R & R_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

for unique real-valued functions $R_{ij} = R_{ji}, R\,\varepsilon_{ij}$ on P which represent $\mathrm{Ric}^{\pm}(\nabla)$.[5] Exterior differentiation of (5.1) implies the existence of unique

[5] We define $\varepsilon_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ji} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_{12} = 1$ as well as $\varepsilon^{ij} + \varepsilon^{ji} = 0$ and $\varepsilon^{12} = 1$.

real-valued functions R_{ijk}, totally symmetric in all indices and real-valued functions R_i on P such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}R_{ij} &= (R_{ijk} + R_i\varepsilon_{kj} + R_j\varepsilon_{ki} - 2R_{ij}\xi_k - R_{kj}\xi_i - R_{ik}\xi_j)\,\theta_0^k - \\ &\quad - 2R_{ij}\theta_0^0 + R_{ik}\theta_j^k + R_{kj}\theta_i^k, \\ \mathrm{d}R &= -3\,(R\,\xi_i + L_i + 3R_i)\,\theta_0^i - 3R\,\theta_0^0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Note that the decomposition in the above equation is chosen so that the functions R_{ijk} represent the symmetric part $\mathrm{Sym}(\nabla\,\mathrm{Ric}^+(\nabla))$ of the ∇-covariant derivative of $\mathrm{Ric}^+(\nabla)$.

Lemma 5.1. *For a* $\mathfrak{p}$*-representative connection* $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ *we have*

$$\nabla^* h_E = -\mathrm{Ric}^+(\nabla) \quad \textit{and} \quad \nabla^*\Omega_E = \frac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{Ric}^-(\nabla).$$

Proof. Let $\xi : P \to \mathbb{R}_2$ be the function corresponding to ∇. The total space of the pullback bundle $p : P_\nabla = \nabla^* P \to \Sigma$ is (diffeomorphic to) the zero locus of ξ. From (5.1) we see that on P_∇ we must have

$$0 = \theta_i^0 + S_{ji}\theta_0^j.$$

In particular, we obtain

$$(\nabla \circ p)^* h_E = -S_{ji}\theta_0^j \circ \theta_0^i = -S_{(ij)}\theta_0^i \circ \theta_0^j$$

and

$$(\nabla \circ p)^*\,\Omega_E = -S_{ji}\theta_0^j \wedge \theta_0^i = -S_{[ij]}\theta_0^i \wedge \theta_0^j,$$

the claim follows from the definition (3.2) of the Schouten tensor of ∇. □

Recall that an immersion $X : M \to (N, h)$ into a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (N, h) is called *spacelike* if X^*h is positive definite and *timelike* if X^*h is negative definite. Furthermore, we call a spacelike or timelike immersion X *minimal* if its second fundamental form II_X is trace-free with respect to the induced metric $\pm X^*h$ and *totally geodesic* if II_X vanishes identically. Also, an immersion $X : M \to (N, \Omega)$ into a symplectic manifold (N, Ω) with $2\dim M = \dim N$ is called *Lagrangian* if $X^*\Omega = 0$.

Lemma 5.1 motivates:

Definition 2. A torsion-free connection ∇ on $T\Sigma$ is called *spacelike* or *timelike* if $\mathrm{Ric}^+(\nabla)$ is negative or positive definite. Moreover ∇ is called *Lagrangian* if its Ricci tensor is symmetric.

Remark 11. The reader may easily verify the equivalence of the following statements for a torsion-free connection ∇ on $T\Sigma$:

(i) ∇ is Lagrangian;
(ii) The connection on $\Lambda^2(T^*\Sigma)$ induced by ∇ is flat;
(iii) ∇ preserves some volume form ε on Σ.

Furthermore, it is an elementary linear algebra lemma to show that for every volume form ε on Σ there exists a unique connection ${}^{\varepsilon}\nabla \in \mathfrak{p}(\nabla)$ which preserves ε. Consequently, every torsion-free connection on $T\Sigma$ is projectively equivalent to a Lagrangian connection.

We will henceforth restrict attention to connections ∇ which are Lagrangian as well as timelike or spacelike, so that $g = \pm\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ defines a Riemannian metric on Σ. Here and it what follows, the upper sign corresponds to the timelike case and the lower sign to the spacelike case. The second order theory of such submanifolds is summarised in the following:

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ be a Lagrangian timelike or spacelike connection. Then there exists a lift $\widetilde{\nabla} : \Sigma \to P/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ covering ∇ so that the pullback bundle $p : P'_\nabla := \widetilde{\nabla}^* P \to \Sigma$ is isomorphic to the $\mathrm{SO}(2)$-bundle of orientation preserving $g = \pm\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$-orthonormal coframes and so that on P'_∇ we have*

$$\zeta_3 = \mp\zeta_1, \qquad \zeta_2 = b\,\zeta_1 + \overline{c}\,\overline{\zeta_1},$$

for unique complex-valued functions b, c on P'_∇. In particular, ∇ determines the following objects on Σ:

(i) *a $(0,1)$-form β_∇ with values in $\Lambda^{1,1}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}\Sigma)$ represented by $\frac{3}{2}b$ which satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Re}(\beta_\nabla) = \pm\left[\lambda + \frac{3}{4}\star_g\left(\mathrm{tr}_g\left(\mathrm{Sym}\nabla\,\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)\right)\right)\otimes d\mu_g\right];$$

(ii) *a cubic differential $C_\nabla \in \Gamma(K^3_\Sigma)$ represented by c which satisfies*

$$\mathrm{Re}(C_\nabla) = \mp\mathrm{Sym}_0\nabla\,\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla).$$

Remark 12. The complex structure on Σ is the one induced by $g = \pm\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ and the orientation.

Remark 13. In a certain sense the submanifold theory of surfaces in the canonical affine bundle parallels the submanifold theory of surfaces in the 4-sphere, see [5] for details.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Since ∇ is Lagrangian we must have $R = 0$ and hence the second equation in (5.2) becomes

$$0 = -3\,(L_i + 3R_i)\,\theta^i_0,$$

so that $R_i = -\frac{1}{3}L_i$. The equation $\xi = 0$ defines a reduction of P to a $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$-subbundle P_∇ on which we have from (5.1)

$$0 = \theta^0_i + S_{ji}\theta^j_0 = \theta^0_i + R_{ij}\theta^j_0.$$

From Cartan's construction we know that $P_\nabla \to \Sigma$ is isomorphic to the bundle $F^+ \to \Sigma$ of orientation preserving coframes of Σ. The assumption that $\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ is positive or negative definite implies that the condition $R_{ij} = \pm\delta_{ij}$ defines a reduction $P'_\nabla \subset P_\nabla$ to an $\mathrm{SO}(2)$-subbundle which is isomorphic to the bundle $F^+_g \to \Sigma$ of orientation preserving

g-orthonormal coframes for the metric $g = \pm\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$. Since the reductions of P to $\mathrm{SO}(2)$-subbundles are in one-to-one correspondence with the sections of $P/\mathrm{SO}(2) \to \Sigma$ it follows that there exists a unique section $\widetilde{\nabla} : \Sigma \to P/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ covering ∇. Equation (5.2) implies that on P'_∇ we must have

$$0 = \left(R_{ijk} - \frac{1}{3}L_i\varepsilon_{kj} - \frac{1}{3}L_j\varepsilon_{ki}\right)\theta^k_0 \mp 2\delta_{ij}\theta^0_0 \pm \delta_{ik}\theta^k_j \pm \delta_{kj}\theta^k_i. \tag{5.3}$$

Contracting (5.3) with δ^{ij}, $\sigma^i_l\delta^{lj}$ and $\sigma^i_l\varepsilon^{lj}$ yields the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}(\psi) &= -\frac{3}{2}\theta^0_0 = \mp\frac{1}{4}\left(\delta^{ij}R_{ijk} - \frac{2}{3}L_j\delta^{ij}\varepsilon_{ki}\right)\theta^k_0,\\
\mathrm{Re}(\zeta_2) &= \theta^1_1 - \theta^2_2 = \mp\frac{1}{2}\sigma^i_l\delta^{lj}\left(R_{ijk} - \frac{1}{3}L_i\varepsilon_{kj} - \frac{1}{3}L_j\varepsilon_{ki}\right)\theta^k_0,\\
\mathrm{Im}(\zeta_2) &= \theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1 = \mp\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^i_l\varepsilon^{lj}R_{ijk} - \frac{2}{3}L_i\sigma^i_k\right)\theta^k_0.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, writing

$$\zeta_2 = (b_1 + \mathrm{i}b_2)\zeta_1 + (c_1 - \mathrm{i}c_2)\overline{\zeta_1},$$

we obtain from (B.1) with tedious but straightforward calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
b_k &= \mp\frac{1}{4}\delta^{ij}R_{ijk} \pm \frac{1}{3}L_i\varepsilon^{ij}\delta_{jk},\\
c_k &= \mp\frac{1}{2}\sigma^w_k\sigma^i_l\delta^{lj}\left(R_{ijw} - \frac{3}{4}\delta^{uv}R_{uv(i}\delta_{jw)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Written out this gives

$$b_1 + \mathrm{i}b_2 = \mp\left(\frac{1}{4}\left(R_{111} + R_{122}\right) + \frac{1}{3}L_2\right) \mp \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{1}{4}(R_{112} + R_{222}) - \frac{1}{3}L_1\right).$$

It follows that there exists a (0,1)-form β_∇ on Σ with values in $\Lambda^{1,1}(T^*_{\mathbb{C}}\Sigma)$ satisfying

$$p^*\beta_\nabla = \frac{3}{2}(b_1 + \mathrm{i}b_2)\,\overline{\zeta_1} \otimes \zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}.$$

Computing the real part of β_∇, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\beta_\nabla\right) = \pm\left[\lambda + \frac{3}{4}\star_g\left(\mathrm{tr}_g\left(\mathrm{Sym}\nabla\,\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)\right)\right) \otimes d\mu_g\right],$$

where we have used that the functions R_{ijk} represent $\mathrm{Sym}\nabla\,\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ and that the Liouville curvature λ of ∇ satisfies

$$p^*\lambda = \left(L_1\theta^1_0 + L_2\theta^2_0\right) \otimes \theta^1_0 \wedge \theta^2_0.$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$c_1 + \mathrm{i}c_2 = \mp\left(\frac{1}{4}R_{111} - \frac{3}{4}R_{122}\right) \mp \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{1}{4}R_{222} - \frac{3}{4}R_{112}\right).$$

It follows that there exists a cubic differential $C_\nabla \in \Gamma(K^3_\Sigma)$ satisfying

$$p^*C_\nabla = (c_1 + \mathrm{i}c_2)(\zeta_1)^3.$$

Computing the real part of C_∇, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Re}(C_\nabla) = \mp \mathrm{Sym}_0 \nabla \,\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla),$$

where the trace-free part is taken with respect to $[g]$. □

We now have:

Theorem 5.3. *A timelike or spacelike Lagrangian connection ∇ is a minimal immersion $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ if and only if β_∇ vanishes identically.*

Proof. In order to keep notation uncluttered we will only treat the spacelike case. The timelike case is entirely similar up to some sign changes. Recall that the pullback of the metric h_E on E satisfies

$$\mu^* h_E = \eta_1 \circ \omega^1 + \eta_2 \circ \omega^2 = (\rho_1)^2 + (\rho_2)^2 - (\rho_3)^2 - (\rho_4)^2,$$

where we write

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}(\zeta_3 + \zeta_1), \qquad \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Im}(\zeta_3 + \zeta_1),$$
$$\rho_3 = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}(\zeta_3 - \zeta_1), \qquad \rho_4 = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Im}(\zeta_3 - \zeta_1).$$

Let ρ_{ij} denote (the pullback to P of) the Levi-Civita connection form of h_E, so that we have the structure equation

$$\mathrm{d}\rho_i = -\rho_{ij} \wedge \rho_j.$$

From Proposition 5.2 we know that on the pullback bundle $p : P'_\nabla \to \Sigma$ we have $\zeta_3 = \zeta_1$ so that $\rho_3 = \rho_4 = 0$ and $\rho_1 = \theta^1_0$ and $\rho_2 = \theta^2_0$. In particular, $\nabla^* h$ pulled back to P'_∇ becomes $(\rho_1)^2 + (\rho_2)^2$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathrm{d}\rho_3 &= -\rho_{31} \wedge \rho_1 - \rho_{32} \wedge \rho_2, \\ 0 = \mathrm{d}\rho_4 &= -\rho_{41} \wedge \rho_1 - \rho_{42} \wedge \rho_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Consequently, Cartan's lemma implies that there exist unique real-valued functions $s_{3ij} = s_{3ji}$ and $s_{4ij} = s_{4ji}$ with $i, j = 1, 2$ on P'_∇ so that

$$\rho_{3i} = s_{3ij}\rho_j \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{4i} = s_{4ij}\rho_j. \tag{5.6}$$

From standard submanifold theory we know that ∇ is a minimal immersion if and only if

$$0 = s_{311} + s_{322} = s_{411} + s_{422}.$$

In order to relate this last condition to β_∇, we translate (5.5) into complex form. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = -2\mathrm{d}\,(\rho_3 + \mathrm{i}\rho_4) &= -\phi_2 \wedge (\rho_1 + \mathrm{i}\rho_2) + \phi_1 \wedge (\rho_1 - \mathrm{i}\rho_2) \\ &= -\phi_2 \wedge \zeta_1 + \phi_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_1 = (\rho_{31} - \rho_{42}) + \mathrm{i}(\rho_{32} + \rho_{41}) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 = -(\rho_{31} + \rho_{42}) - \mathrm{i}(\rho_{41} - \rho_{32}).$$

It follows from (a variant of) Cartan's lemma that there exist complex-valued functions B, C, D on P'_∇ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}-B & -\overline{C}\\ D & B\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_1\\ \overline{\zeta_1}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing these last equations with (5.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{311}+s_{322}\right) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(s_{411}+s_{422}\right),\\
C &= -s_{412} + \frac{1}{2}s_{311} - \frac{1}{2}s_{322} + \mathrm{i}\left(-s_{312} + \frac{1}{2}s_{422} - \frac{1}{2}s_{411}\right),\\
D &= -s_{412} + \frac{1}{2}s_{322} - \frac{1}{2}s_{311} + \mathrm{i}\left(s_{312} + \frac{1}{2}s_{422} - \frac{1}{2}s_{411}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

so that ∇ is minimal if and only if $B = 0$. Using the structure equations (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -2\mathrm{d}\left(\rho_3 + \mathrm{i}\rho_4\right) = \mathrm{d}\left(\zeta_1 - \zeta_3\right)\\
&= \zeta_1\wedge\psi + \zeta_3\wedge\overline{\psi} + L\zeta_1\wedge\overline{\zeta_1} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_2\wedge\overline{\zeta_1} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_2\wedge\overline{\zeta_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using that for our frame adaption $\zeta_1 = \zeta_3$, we obtain

$$0 = -\left(\psi + \overline{\psi} + L\overline{\zeta_1}\right)\wedge\zeta_1 + (-\zeta_2)\wedge\overline{\zeta_1}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix}-\zeta_2\\ \psi + \overline{\psi} + L\overline{\zeta_1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}-B & -\overline{C}\\ D & -B\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_1\\ \overline{\zeta_1}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.7}$$

From Proposition 5.2 we know that $\zeta_2 = b\zeta_1 + \overline{c}\overline{\zeta_1}$ hence $B = b$ and $C = c$ which concludes the proof. □

For later usage we record:

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ be a timelike or spacelike minimal Lagrangian immersion. Then on the pullback bundle $p : P'_\nabla \to \Sigma$ we have the following identities:*

$$\zeta_3 = \mp\zeta_1, \quad \zeta_2 = \overline{c}\overline{\zeta_1}, \quad \mathrm{Re}(\psi) = \mp\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{L}\zeta_1 + L\overline{\zeta_1}\right).$$

Proof. The first two identifies are shown in Proposition 5.2, the last identity is an immediate consequence of the minimality assumption and (5.7). □

We get:

Corollary 5.5. *Let ∇ be a projectively flat Lagrangian timelike or spacelike connection whose cubic differential C_∇ vanishes identically. Then $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ is totally geodesic. Conversely, suppose the Lagrangian timelike or spacelike connection $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ is totally geodesic, then ∇ is projectively flat and $C_\nabla = 0$.*

Proof. Since C_∇ vanishes identically, it follows that $\mathrm{Sym}(\nabla(\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla))$ is trace-free with respect to the conformal structure defined by $\pm\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$. In particular, Proposition 5.2 implies that $\mathrm{Re}(\beta_\nabla) = \pm\lambda$, the Liouville curvature of ∇. Since ∇ is projectively flat it follows that $\mathrm{Re}(\beta_\nabla)$ and hence β_∇ vanish identically. Therefore, the functions B and C in (5.7) vanish identically. It follows from Lemma (5.4) that $D = \pm\overline{L}$ and hence D vanishes identically as well, the first claim follows.

Conversely, assume that ∇ is totally geodesic so that $B = C = D = 0$ and hence $C_\nabla = 0$. Then (5.7) implies that on P'_∇ we have

$$0 = \psi + \overline{\psi} + L\overline{\zeta_1}.$$

Since $\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} \neq 0$ this implies $L = 0$ and hence ∇ is projectively flat. □

Example 14. Let ${}^g\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian metric g of constant non-zero Gauss curvature K. Clearly, the Levi-Civita connection is Lagrangian and furthermore timelike or spacelike since the Ricci curvature is just the Gauss curvature times the metric g. Hence $\mathrm{Sym}\left({}^g\nabla\left(Kg\right)\right)$ vanishes identically and so does $C_{{}^g\nabla}$. Since K is constant, ${}^g\nabla$ is projectively flat and hence totally geodesic.

In the compact case the Levi-Civita connections of metrics of constant non-zero Gauss curvature are the only examples of projectively flat minimal Lagrangian connections whose cubic differential C_∇ vanishes identically:

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose ∇ is a projectively flat timelike or spacelike minimal Lagrangian connection on a compact oriented surface Σ satisfying $C_\nabla = 0$. Then ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a metric of constant non-zero Gauss curvature.*

We first need a lemma which is a translation into bundle language of a result of R. Liouville [28]. The translation is due to Bryant [4]. The reader may also consult [8].

Lemma 5.7. *Let $(\pi : P \to \Sigma, \theta)$ be the Cartan geometry of an oriented projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$. Suppose there exist real-valued functions $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$ on P satisfying $h_{11}h_{22} - (h_{12})^2 > 0$ and the linear differential system*

$$\mathrm{d}h_{ij} = 2h_{ij}\theta_0^0 + h_{ik}\theta_j^k + h_{kj}\theta_i^k + \left(h_i\varepsilon_{kj} + h_j\varepsilon_{ki}\right)\theta_0^k \tag{5.8}$$

for some real-valued functions h_i on P. Then the symmetric tensor field

$$\left(h_{11}h_{22} - (h_{12})^2\right)^{-2} h_{ij}\theta_0^i \otimes \theta_0^j$$

is the π-pullback of a unique Riemannian metric g on Σ whose Levi-Civita connection defines $\mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Suppose the functions $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$ satisfy the assumptions of the Lemma. Writing $g_{ij} = (h_{11}h_{22} - (h_{12})^2)^{-2}h_{ij}$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}g_{ij} = -2g_{ij}\theta_0^0 + g_{kj}\theta_i^k + g_{ik}\theta_j^k + g_{ijk}\theta_0^k \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$g_{ijk} = \frac{(2h_{ij}h_{ku} + h_{kj}h_{iu} + h_{ik}h_{ju})\varepsilon^{vu}h_v}{(h_{11}h_{22} - (h_{12})^2)^3}.$$

Computing how the functions h_i transform under the G-right action one sees that the equations $h_1 = h_2 = 0$ define a reduction $F^+ \subset P$ to a $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$-subbundle which is isomorphic to the coframe bundle of Σ. Moreover Cartan's work implies that on F^+ the 1-form $\varphi = (\varphi^i_j)$ with $\varphi^i_j = \theta^i_j - \delta^i_j\theta^0_0$ is the connection 1-form of a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection ∇. Therefore on F^+ (5.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}g_{ij} =& -2g_{ij}\theta^0_0 + g_{ik}\theta^k_j + g_{kj}\theta^k_i = -2g_{ij}\theta^0_0 + g_{ik}(\varphi^k_j + \delta^k_j\theta^0_0)\\ &+ g_{kj}(\varphi^k_i + \delta^k_i\theta^0_0) = g_{ik}\varphi^k_j + g_{kj}\theta^k_i,\end{aligned}$$

showing that ∇ is a torsion-free connection preserving g, hence the Levi-Civita connection of g. □

Proof of Theorem 5.6. From Lemma 5.4 we know that on the pullback bundle P'_∇ we have

$$\zeta_3 = \mp\zeta_1, \quad \zeta_2 = 0, \quad \psi = \mathrm{i}\varphi, \tag{5.10}$$

for some real-valued 1-form φ which is the Levi-Civita connection form of g. Since C_∇ vanishes (5.11) becomes

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta_1 = -\mathrm{i}\varphi\wedge\zeta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{d}\varphi = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\pm 1)\,\zeta_1\wedge\overline{\zeta_1},$$

so that g has Gauss-curvature 1 in the timelike case and -1 in the spacelike case. Taking the definition of the forms ζ_i, ψ we obtain from (5.10) that on P'_∇

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^0_1 + \mathrm{i}\theta^0_2 \pm \theta^1_0 + \mathrm{i}\theta^2_0 &= 0,\\ (\theta^1_1 - \theta^2_2) + \mathrm{i}(\theta^1_2 + \theta^2_1) &= 0,\\ \frac{3}{2}(\theta^1_1 + \theta^2_2) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\theta^1_2 - \theta^2_1) &= \mathrm{i}\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the differential system (5.8) simplifies to become

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}h_{11} &= -2h_{12}\theta^1_2 - 2\theta^2_0 h_1,\\ \mathrm{d}h_{12} &= (h_{11} - h_{22})\theta^1_2 + h_1\theta^1_0 - h_2\theta^2_0,\\ \mathrm{d}h_{22} &= 2h_{12}\theta^1_2 + 2h_2\theta^1_0,\end{aligned}$$

which has the trivial solution given by $h_{11} = h_{22} = 1$ and $h_{12} = h_1 = h_2 = 0$. Now Lemma 5.7 implies that there exists a unique metric on Σ whose Levi-Civita connection defines $\mathfrak{p}$ and whose pullback to P'_∇ is $\delta_{ij}\theta^i_0\otimes\theta^j_0 = (\theta^1_0)^2 + (\theta^2_0)$. Of course, this is the metric g. It follows that the Levi-Civita connection ${}^g\nabla$ of g is projectively equivalent to ∇. Consequently, Lemma 2.1 implies that there exists a 1-form γ on S^2 so that

$$\nabla = {}^g\nabla + \iota(\gamma).$$

An elementary computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ric}({}^g\nabla + \iota(\gamma)) &= \operatorname{Ric}({}^g\nabla) + {}^g\nabla\gamma + \gamma^2\\ &= g + \operatorname{Sym}({}^g\nabla\gamma) + \mathrm{d}\gamma + \gamma^2\\ &= \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla) = g.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{d}\gamma$ is the only skew-symmetric part in the above equation it follows that γ is closed. Taking the trace with respect to g, we obtain

$$0 = \operatorname{tr}_g \operatorname{Sym}({}^g\nabla\gamma) + |\gamma|_g^2 = -\delta_g\gamma + |\gamma|_g^2,$$

where δ_g denotes the co-differential with respect to g and the fixed orientation. Integration gives

$$0 = -\int_\Sigma \mathrm{d}\star\gamma = \int_\Sigma \delta_g\gamma\, d\mu_g = \int_\Sigma |\gamma|_g^2\, d\mu_g,$$

by the Stokes theorem. Consequently γ vanishes identically and hence $\nabla = {}^g\nabla$. It is well-known that the Levi-Civita connection of a metric on a compact surface of non-vanishing Euler characteristic determines the metric up to constant scale (for a proof see for instance [33]), the claim follows. □

We naturally obtain a holomorphic cubic differential in the projectively flat case:

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ is a projectively flat timelike or spacelike minimal Lagrangian immersion. Then the cubic differential C_∇ is holomorphic.*

Proof. Recall that the pullback bundle $p : P'_\nabla \to \Sigma$ is isomorphic to the SO(2)-bundle of orientation preserving $g = \pm\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla)$-orthonormal coframes. In particular, we have $p^*g = \zeta_1 \circ \overline{\zeta_1}$. Since ∇ is projectively flat we have $L = 0$ and hence Lemma 5.4 implies that we may write $\psi = \mathrm{i}\varphi$ for some real-valued 1-form φ on P'_∇. The structure equation for ψ is (3.10)

$$\mathrm{d}\psi = \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} - \frac{1}{4}\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2} - \zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}|c|^2 \pm 1\right)\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}.$$

The structure equation for ζ_1 is (3.9)

$$\mathrm{d}\zeta_1 = \zeta_1 \wedge \psi - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = -\psi \wedge \zeta_1,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\zeta_1 &= -\mathrm{i}\varphi \wedge \zeta_1,\\ \mathrm{d}\varphi &= -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}|c|^2 \pm 1\right)\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

It follows from §4.1 that φ is the (pullback to P'_∇ of the) Levi-Civita connection form of g. In particular, the cubic differential C_∇ represented by c is holomorphic if and only if

$$\mathrm{d}c = 3c\mathrm{i}\varphi \mod \zeta_1.$$

The structure equation for $\overline{\zeta_2}$ is (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\overline{\zeta_2} &= -\overline{\zeta_1}\wedge\overline{\zeta_3} + (\psi-\overline{\psi})\wedge\overline{\zeta_2} = -2c\,\zeta_1\wedge \mathrm{i}\varphi = \mathrm{d}c\wedge\zeta_1 + c\mathrm{d}\zeta_1\\ &= (\mathrm{d}c - \mathrm{i}c\varphi)\wedge\zeta_1.\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we obtain

$$0 = (\mathrm{d}c - 3c\mathrm{i}\varphi)\wedge\zeta_1,$$

thus proving the claim. □

Since by Riemann-Roch there are no non-trivial cubic holomorphic differentials on the 2-sphere $S^2 \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$, we obtain as an immediate consequence:

Corollary 5.9. *Suppose ∇ is a projectively flat timelike or spacelike minimal Lagrangian connection on S^2. Then ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a metric of constant positive Gauss curvature.*

If ∇ is a timelike or spacelike Lagrangian connection, its lift $\tilde{\nabla} : \Sigma \to P/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ induces a map $q_Y \circ \tilde{\nabla} : \Sigma \to P/\mathrm{CO}(2) \simeq Y$, where $q_Y : P/\mathrm{SO}(2) \to P/\mathrm{CO}(2)$ denotes the quotient projection. In addition, we obtain a conformal structure $[g] = [\pm\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)]$ and hence a lift $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$ provided by Proposition 4.1. These two maps are the same in the case where ∇ is minimal:

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ be a timelike or spacelike minimal Lagrangian connection. Then $q_Y \circ \tilde{\nabla} = \widetilde{[g]}$ where $g = \pm\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ and moreover, $\widetilde{[g]} : (\Sigma, [g]) \to (Y, h_Y)$ is weakly conformal.*

Proof. Let $\xi : P \to \mathbb{R}_2$ be the function on Cartan's bundle representing ∇ and $R_{ij} = R_{ji}$ the functions on P representing the Ricci tensor of ∇. Recall that the section $\tilde{\nabla}$ was defined by the equations $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = R_{12} = 0$ and $R_{11} = R_{22} = \pm 1$ and hence the map $q \circ \tilde{\nabla} : \Sigma \to Y$ is defined by the equations

$$\xi_1 = \xi_2 = R_{12} = 0, \quad R_{11} = R_{22}.$$

Denoting by $q_Z : P/\mathrm{SO}(2) \to P/\left(\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{CO}(2)\right) \simeq Z$ the quotient projection, we have by definition of $[g]$

$$q_Z \circ \tilde{\nabla} = [g] : \Sigma \to Z.$$

By construction, the lift $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$ of $[g]$ is uniquely determined by the condition that on the pullback bundle $\widetilde{[g]}^* P \to \Sigma$ we have

$$\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = 0.$$

On the pullback bundle $P'_\nabla = \tilde\nabla^* P$ we obtain

$$\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = \left(b\zeta_1 + \overline{c}\overline{\zeta_1}\right) \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = b\,\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = 0,$$

because of the minimality assumption. Since

$$(R_{re^{i\phi}})^* \left(\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1}\right) = \frac{1}{r^3} e^{i\phi} \zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1},$$

it follows that $\zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = 0$ still holds true on $(q_Y \circ \tilde\nabla)^* P$ hence $q_Y \circ \tilde\nabla$ must be the lift of $[g]$. By the definition of $\widetilde{[g]}$ it follows that on $\widetilde{[g]}^* P$ we have

$$\zeta_3 \wedge \zeta_1 = \overline{q}\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1},$$

where the complex-valued function q is the complete obstruction to $\widetilde{[g]}$ being weakly conformal. However, since $\widetilde{[g]} = q_Y \circ \tilde\nabla$, we know that we can reduce to an $\mathrm{SO}(2)$-bundle on which $\zeta_3 = \pm\zeta_1$ and hence $\zeta_3 \wedge \zeta_1 = 0$. Since moving along the fibres of $p : \widetilde{[g]}^* P \to \Sigma$, the function q varies only by (non-zero) complex scale, it follows that q must vanish identically. □

5.2. Convex projective structures. Recall that a flat projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ has the property that Σ can be covered with open subsets, each of which is diffeomorphic onto a subset of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in such a way that the geodesics of $\mathfrak{p}$ are mapped onto (segments) of projective lines $\mathbb{RP}^1 \subset \mathbb{RP}^2$. This condition turns out to be equivalent to Σ carrying an atlas modelled on $\mathbb{RP}^2$, that is, an atlas whose chart transitions are (restrictions) of fractional linear transformations. On the universal cover $\tilde\Sigma$ of the surface the charts can be adjusted to agree on overlaps, thus defining a *developing map* $\mathrm{dev} : \tilde\Sigma \to \mathbb{RP}^2$, unique up to post-composition with an element of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$. In addition, one obtains a *monodromy representation* $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ – well defined up to conjugation – making dev into an equivariant map. A flat projective structure is called *properly convex* if dev is a diffeomorphism onto a subset of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ which is bounded and convex. If Σ is a compact orientable surface with negative Euler characteristic, then the (conjugacy class) of 'the' monodromy representation ρ of a properly convex projective structure is an element in the Hitchin component $\mathcal{H}_3$ of Σ and conversely every element in $\mathcal{H}_3$ can be obtained in this way [12].

In [24, Theorem 3.2.1], motivated by the circle of ideas discussed in the introduction, it is shown that a projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ on Σ is properly convex if and only if there exists a pair (∇, g) consisting of a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection ∇ having symmetric Ricci tensor and a Riemannian metric g, so that the connection $\mathrm{D} : \Gamma(V) \to \Omega^1(\Sigma, V)$ defined by the rule

$$\mathrm{D}_X \begin{pmatrix} f \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}f(X) + g(X,Y) \\ fX + \nabla_X Y \end{pmatrix}, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(T\Sigma), f \in C^\infty(\Sigma) \tag{5.12}$$

is flat. Here $V = \mathbb{R} \oplus T\Sigma$ where $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the trivial line-bundle on Σ whose sections are real-valued functions on Σ. In the language used in this article this connection arises as follows.

Suppose we fix a representative connection ∇ in a projective equivalence class $\mathfrak{p}$, then we obtain an identification of Cartan's bundle with $F^+ \times \mathbb{R}_2$. If furthermore ∇ has symmetric Ricci tensor, then we may assume that ∇ preserves some volume form ε on Σ. In particular, we may reduce Cartan's bundle to the $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$-subbundle $P_\varepsilon = F^+_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{R}_2$ where F^+_ε consists of those coframes that are not only orientation preserving, but also volume preserving with respect to ε and the standard volume form on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ we may take the defining representation ρ_0 of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ – restricted to $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ – and obtain an associated rank 3 vector bundle $V = P_\varepsilon \times_{\rho_0} \mathbb{R}^3$. By definition, the elements of V are triples $(u, \xi, x) \in P_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{R}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ subject to the equivalence relation

$$(u_1,\xi_1,x_1) \sim (u_2,\xi_2,x_2) \iff \begin{array}{rl} u_2 = & a^{-1} \circ u_1, \\ \xi_2 = & \xi_1 a + b, \\ x_2 = & \rho_0\left((b \rtimes a)^{-1}\right) x_1, \end{array}$$

for all $b \rtimes a \in \mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Using the affine part of $\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ to make ξ vanish, we see that the bundle V obtained in this way is isomorphic to the rank 3 vector bundle V associated to F^+_ε via the 3-dimensional reducible $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$-representation χ defined by the rule

$$\chi(a)x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} x,$$

for all $a \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Of course, $V = F^+_\varepsilon \times_\chi \mathbb{R}^3 \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus T\Sigma$, but more is true. The Cartan connection θ equips V with a canonical connection D – sometimes called the *tractor connection* [1] – defined by

$$\mathrm{D} : \begin{pmatrix} f \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathrm{d}\begin{pmatrix} f \\ Y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3}\operatorname{tr}\varphi - \xi\omega & \mathrm{d}\xi - \xi\varphi - S^t\omega - \xi\omega\xi \\ \omega & \varphi - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{I}\operatorname{tr}\varphi + \omega\xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ Y \end{pmatrix} : P_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}^3$$

is an $(\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$-equivariant map representing a section of V and φ the connection form of ∇. Since ∇ preserves a volume form it follows that $\operatorname{tr}\varphi = 0$ and hence setting $\xi = 0$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{D} : \begin{pmatrix} f \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}f - S^t\omega Y \\ f\omega + \mathrm{d}Y + \varphi Y \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the connection (5.12). However, recall that the function S represents the projective Schouten tensor of ∇ which is just the Ricci tensor of

∇ provided $\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ is symmetric. Therefore, the pair (∇, g) in [24, Theorem 3.2.1] is the pair $(\nabla, -\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla))$.[6] In our language we may rephrase this as follows:

Theorem 5.11. *Let $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ be a compact oriented flat projective surface. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is properly convex if and only if there exists a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ which is a spacelike Lagrangian embedding.*

One of the main theorems of [24] is Theorem 4.2.1, which states that for a properly convex compact oriented projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ there is a pair $(\nabla, g) = (\nabla, -\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla))$ – unique up to pullback by $\mathfrak{p}$-preserving diffeomorphisms – having the properties stated above and so that ∇ preserves the volume form of g. The conformal structure defined by $g = -\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ is the canonical conformal structure mentioned in the introduction. We obtain:

Theorem 5.12. *Let $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ be a properly convex compact oriented projective surface. Then there exists a $\mathfrak{p}$-representative connection ∇ – unique up to pullback by $\mathfrak{p}$-preserving diffeomorphisms – so that $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ is a spacelike minimal Lagrangian embedding. In particular, the conformal structure defined by $g = -\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ is extremal for $\mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. We need to show that the condition $\nabla d\mu_g = 0$ where $g = -\mathrm{Ric}(\nabla)$ implies that the spacelike Lagrangian embedding $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ is also minimal. Suppose ∇ is a spacelike Lagrangian connection represented by the $\mathbb{R}_2$-valued function ξ on P and let $R_{ij} = R_{ji}$ represent the (symmetric) Ricci tensor of ∇. Then $\nabla d\mu_g = 0$ is equivalent to the condition

$$\mathrm{d}\rho = \rho \,\mathrm{tr}\,\varphi,$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{R_{11}R_{22} - (R_{12})^2}$ and $\varphi = (\varphi^i_j)$ is the connection form of ∇. Recall from (3.11) that

$$\varphi^i_j = \theta^i_j - \delta^i_j \left(\theta^0_0 + \xi_k \theta^k_0\right) - \xi_j \theta^i_0.$$

Using (5.2), we compute

$$\mathrm{d}\rho = \rho \,\mathrm{tr}\,\varphi - \frac{1}{\rho}\left(R_i R_{jk}\varepsilon^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R_{ij} R_{uvk} \varepsilon^{iu}\varepsilon^{jv}\right)\theta^k_0.$$

Since ∇ has symmetric Ricci tensor we know that $R_i = -\frac{1}{3}L_i$. Moreover, ∇ being projectively flat implies that the functions L_i vanish identically. Therefore, in our frame adaption where $R_{ij} = -\delta_{ij}$ the condition $\nabla d\mu_g = 0$ translates to

$$0 = \delta_{ij} R_{uvk} \varepsilon^{iu}\varepsilon^{jv} = \delta^{ij} R_{ijk}.$$

Since ∇ if minimal if and only if the functions

$$b_k = \frac{1}{4}\delta^{ij} R_{ijk} - \frac{1}{3} L_i \varepsilon^{ij}\delta_{jk} = \frac{1}{4}\delta^{ij} R_{ijk}$$

[6] The reader may also verify this directly by taking the appropriate trace in equation (2) in [24, p. 1062].

computed in (5.4) vanish identically, the first claim follows. Applying Proposition 5.10 proves the theorem. □

5.3. Concluding remarks.

Remark 15. Cubic holomorphic differentials also occurred in the classification of projectively flat constant curvature Finsler two-spheres by Bryant [7]. The reason for this is a duality between certain Finsler structures and conformal connections as reported by R. L. Bryant in his talk "Aufwiedersehen Surfaces, revisited" at the ICM 2006.

Remark 16. Another key result of [24] is the following: Let $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ be a compact oriented properly convex projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ whose monodromy representation will be denoted by ρ. Then there exists a minimal mapping $\tilde{\Sigma} \to \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ which is ρ-equivariant with respect to the action of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. In our picture this mapping arises as follows. Recall that $\mathfrak{p}$ admits a representative connection defining a spacelike minimal Lagrangian embedding $\nabla : \Sigma \to E$ which lifts to define a map $\tilde{\nabla} : \Sigma \to P/\mathrm{SO}(2)$. We may pull this connection back to the universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ to obtain a map $\nabla' : \tilde{\Sigma} \to \tilde{E}$ which is still a spacelike minimal Lagrangian map with respect to the metric and symplectic structure on $\tilde{E}$ induced by $\mathfrak{p}(\nabla')$. However, since $\mathfrak{p}$ is properly convex, it follows that the total space $\tilde{P}$ of Cartan's bundle for $\mathfrak{p}(\nabla')$ is a subset of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, the lift $\tilde{\nabla}'$ is a map $\tilde{\Sigma} \to \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(2)$ which me may project to obtain a map into $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and this is the map from [24].

Remark 17. It appears likely that in the case of a compact oriented properly convex projective surface $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$, the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ admits a unique critical point given by the canonical conformal structure $[g]_0$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. If this were true, then it would follow that the only examples of conformal connections defining properly convex projective structures are the Levi-Civita connections of metrics of constant negative Gauss curvature.

Remark 18. In [33] it was shown (though phrased in different language) that if $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ is a compact oriented projective surface of negative Euler characteristic for which $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ admits an absolute minimiser, i.e., the projective structure $\mathfrak{p}$ is defined by a conformal connection, then the absolute minimiser is unique.

Appendix A. A Gauss–Bonnet type identity

As a by-product of our considerations we obtain a Gauss–Bonnet type identity:

Theorem A.1. *Let $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{p})$ a compact oriented projective surface. Then for every section $s : \Sigma \to (Y, \Omega_Y)$ we have*

$$\int_\Sigma s^*\Omega_Y = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma). \tag{A.1}$$

Proof. Note that by construction, the fibres of the bundle $Y \to \Sigma$ are diffeomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{R}_2 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})\right)/\mathrm{CO}(2)$ which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_2 \times D^2$ and hence contractible. It follows that any two sections of $Y \to \Sigma$ induce the same map on the second de Rham cohomology groups $H^2(Y) \simeq H^2(\Sigma) \simeq \mathbb{R}$. It is therefore sufficient to construct a section $s : \Sigma \to Y$ for which (A.1) holds. From the proof of the Proposition 4.1 we know that for every conformal structure $[g] : \Sigma \to Z$ there exists a lift $\widetilde{[g]} : \Sigma \to Y$ so that on the pullback bundle $P'_{[g]}$ we have

$$\zeta_2 = \overline{a}\,\overline{\zeta_1}, \qquad \zeta_3 = \left(\frac{1}{2}|a|^2 - \frac{2}{3}s - \frac{4}{3}\overline{s}\right)\zeta_1 + \overline{q}\,\overline{\zeta_1},$$

where s is the curvature function of the induced $[g]$-conformal connection ψ. Since

$$\tau^*\Omega_Y = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left(\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_3} + \zeta_3 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} + \zeta_2 \wedge \overline{\zeta_2}\right),$$

computing as in Proposition 4.6 and using the above identities for ζ_2, ζ_3 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma \widetilde{[g]}^*\Omega_Y &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int_\Sigma (s+\overline{s})\,\zeta_1 \wedge \overline{\zeta_1} = \int_\Sigma -\operatorname{Im}(\Psi) = \int_\Sigma (K_g - \delta_g\beta)\,d\mu_g \\ &= 2\pi\chi(\Sigma),\end{aligned}$$

by the Stokes – and the Gauss–Bonnet theorem. □

Appendix B. Some linear algebra

Let V be a real two-dimensional vector space and $J \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ a (linear) complex structure, i.e., $J^2 = -\mathrm{Id}_V$. Extending the transpose map $J^* : V^* \to V^*$ linearly to the complexification $V^*_{\mathbb{C}} = V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$, we obtain the usual decomposition

$$V^*_{\mathbb{C}} = (V^*)^{1,0}_J \oplus (V^*)^{0,1}_J$$

into (1,0) and (0,1) covectors, where $(V^*)^{1,0}_J$ denotes the i-eigenspace of J^* and $(V^*)^{0,1}_J$ the $-$i-eigenspace of J^*. Fixing a basis e_1, e_2 of V so that $J(e_1) = e_2$ and denoting by e^1, e^2 its dual basis, the above decomposition is given by

$$a_k e^k + \mathrm{i}b_k e^k = (c_1^+ + \mathrm{i}c_2^+)(e^1 + \mathrm{i}e^2) + (c_1^- - \mathrm{i}c_2^-)(e^1 - \mathrm{i}e^2)$$

where

$$c_i^\pm = \frac{1}{2}\left(a_k\sigma_i^k \pm b_k J_l^k \sigma_i^l\right) \tag{B.1}$$

and $\sigma \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ is the real structure satisfying $\sigma(e_1) = e_1$ and $\sigma(e_2) = -e_2$.

Let $\mathrm{GL}(V,J)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ consisting of linear maps commuting with J. The elements in $\mathrm{GL}(V,J)$ act linearly on V^* by transposition. Furthermore, we denote by $S^3_0(V^*)$ the $\mathrm{GL}(V,J)$-module consisting of those symmetric trilinear forms that are traceless with respect to the

conformal inner product defined by J. Then, we have an isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}(V,J)$-modules

$$V^* \simeq S^3_0(V^*), \quad a_i \mapsto a_u \sigma^u_{(l}\sigma^v_j\delta_{k)v} - \frac{1}{2}a_{(l}\delta_{jk)}. \tag{B.2}$$

References

[1] T. N. Bailey, M. G. Eastwood, and A. R. Gover, Thomas's structure bundle for conformal, projective and related structures, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **24** (1994), 1191–1217. MR 1322223 Zbl 0828.53012 doi> 45

[2] Y. Benoist and D. Hulin, Cubic differentials and finite volume convex projective surfaces, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013), 595–620. MR 3039771 Zbl 1266.30030 doi> 4

[3] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)* **10**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR 867684 Zbl 0613.53001 doi> 7

[4] R. L. Bryant, *Notes on projective surfaces*, private manuscript in progress. 40

[5] ———, Conformal and minimal immersions of compact surfaces into the 4-sphere, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), 455–473. MR 679067 Zbl 0498.53046 36

[6] ———, A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces, *J. Differential Geom.* **20** (1984), 23–53. MR 772125 Zbl 0555.53002 30

[7] ———, Projectively flat Finsler 2-spheres of constant curvature, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **3** (1997), 161–203. MR 1466165 Zbl 0897.53052 doi> 47

[8] R. L. Bryant, M. Dunajski, and M. Eastwood, Metrisability of two-dimensional projective structures, *J. Differential Geom.* **83** (2009), 465–499. MR 2581355 Zbl 1196.53014 40

[9] D. M. J. Calderbank, Selfdual 4-manifolds, projective surfaces, and the Dunajski-West construction, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **10** (2014), Paper 035, 18. MR 3210600 Zbl 1288.53075 doi> 20

[10] A. Čap and J. Slovák, *Parabolic geometries. I*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **154**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009, Background and general theory. MR 2532439 Zbl 1183.53002 2

[11] E. Cartan, Sur les variétés à connexion projective, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **52** (1924), 205–241. MR 1504846 Zbl 50.0500.02 11

[12] S. Choi and W. M. Goldman, Convex real projective structures on closed surfaces are closed, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1993), 657–661. MR 1145415 Zbl 0810.57005 doi> 4, 44

[13] M. Dubois-Violette, Structures complexes au-dessus des variétés, applications, in *Mathematics and physics*, *Progr. Math.* **37**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 1–42. MR 728412 Zbl 0522.53029 doi> 3, 14

[14] D. Dumas and M. Wolf, Polynomial cubic differentials and convex polygons in the projective plane, (2014). arXiv 1407.8149 4

[15] M. Dunajski and T. Mettler, Gauge theory on projective surfaces and anti-self-dual Einstein metrics in dimension four, (2015). arXiv 1509.04276 3, 20

[16] O. Guichard and A. Wienhard, Anosov representations: domains of discontinuity and applications, *Invent. Math.* **190** (2012), 357–438. MR 2981818 Zbl 1270.20049 doi> 4

[17] N. Hitchin, Complex manifolds and Einstein's equations, in *Twistor geometry and nonlinear systems*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **970**, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 73–99. MR 699802 Zbl 0507.53025 3, 14

[18] ———, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **55** (1987), 59–126. MR 887284 Zbl 0634.53045 doi> 4

[19] ———, Lie groups and Teichmüller space, *Topology* **31** (1992), 449–473. MR 1174252 Zbl 0769.32008 doi> 4
[20] ———, Higgs bundles and diffeomorphism groups, (2015). arXiv 1501.04989 4
[21] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 70. MR 0355886 Zbl 0829.53023 2
[22] S. Kobayashi and T. Nagano, On projective connections, *J. Math. Mech.* **13** (1964), 215–235. MR 0159284 Zbl 0117.39101 11
[23] F. Labourie, Cross ratios, surface groups, PSL$(n, \mathbf{R})$ and diffeomorphisms of the circle, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* (2007), 139–213. MR 2373231 Zbl 1203.30044 doi> 4
[24] ———, Flat projective structures on surfaces and cubic holomorphic differentials, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **3** (2007), 1057–1099. MR 2402597 Zbl 1158.32006 doi> 4, 44, 46, 47
[25] ———, Cyclic surfaces and hitchin components in rank 2, (2014). arXiv 1406.4637 4
[26] C. Lebrun and L. J. Mason, Zoll manifolds and complex surfaces, *J. Differential Geom.* **61** (2002), 453–535. MR 1979367 Zbl 1070.53022 22
[27] R. Liouville, Sur une classe d'équations différentielles, parmi lesquelles, en particulier, toutes celles des lignes géodésiques se trouvent comprises., *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **105** (1888), 1062–1064. Zbl 19.0317.02 13
[28] ———, Sur les invariants de certaines équations différentielles et sur leurs applications., *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **109** (1889), 560–563. Zbl 21.0317.01 40
[29] J. C. Loftin, Affine spheres and convex $\mathbb{RP}^n$-manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), 255–274. MR 1828223 Zbl 0997.53010 4
[30] V. S. Matveev and A. Trautman, A Criterion for Compatibility of Conformal and Projective Structures, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **329** (2014), 821–825. MR 3212870 Zbl 1298.53021 doi> 8
[31] T. Mettler, Reduction of β-integrable 2-Segre structures, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **21** (2013), 331–353. MR 3043749 Zbl 1279.53026 doi> 30
[32] ———, Weyl metrisability of two-dimensional projective structures, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **156** (2014), 99–113. MR 3144212 Zbl 06283094 doi> 21, 30
[33] ———, Geodesic rigidity of conformal connections on surfaces, *Math. Z.* **281** (2015), 379–393. MR 3384876 doi> 42, 47
[34] N. R. O'Brian and J. H. Rawnsley, Twistor spaces, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **3** (1985), 29–58. MR 812312 Zbl 0526.53057 doi> 3, 14
[35] H. Weyl, Zur Infinitesimalgeometrie: Einordnung der projektiven und der konformen Auffassung., *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.* **1921** (1921), 99–112. Zbl 48.0844.04 2, 6

Institut für Mathematik, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt,
Robert-Mayer-Str. 10, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
mettler@math.uni-frankfurt.de
http://www.math.uni-frankfurt.de/∼ mettler